Prevalence of Malocclusion among Schoolchildren in Makkah, Saudi Arabia
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Abstract

BACKGROUND: The prevalence of malocclusion varies from one region to another and among different age groups and ethnicities. According to the World Health Organization, malocclusion is the third most common abnormal dental condition.

AIM: This study aims to establish the prevalence of malocclusion among schoolchildren in the Holy City of Makkah, Saudi Arabia.

METHODS: A cross-sectional study was conducted among 400 Saudi schoolchildren, 12-15 years of age, of both genders, randomly selected from 15 schools in different regions of Makkah. Molar and canine relationships were examined, in addition to traits such as crowding, spacing, overjet, overbite, cross-bite, scissor bite, and maxillary diastema.

RESULTS: The most prevalent molar relationship was Class I (52.3%), followed by Class II (25%), and Class III (20.5%). Crowding was the most prevalent malocclusion trait (74%), and scissor bite was the least common (2.5%). A statistically significant difference was found between males and females in most of the recorded criteria (P < 0.005).

CONCLUSION: Among schoolchildren in Makkah, Class I molar relationship was the most prevalent type of occlusion, and the most prevalent malocclusion trait was crowding.

Introduction

Malocclusion occurs when the dental arches are misaligned, incorrectly related to each other, or when there is an irregularity in teeth position beyond the normal limits [1]. It is usually a developmental condition rather than a pathological one [2]. There are many etiological factors associated with malocclusion, including an abnormal number of teeth, jaw size and form, habits such as nail biting or thumb sucking, and premature loss of or prolonged retention of deciduous teeth [3].

Dental caries and periodontal diseases may result from malocclusion [4], and due to an unpleasant dentofacial appearance, psychosocial problems may develop [5]. Malocclusion may also have unfavourable effects on oral functions, including mastication, swallowing, and speech [6]. Furthermore, if malocclusion remains untreated, it may increase the incidence of temporomandibular joint disorders [7].

Previous studies have shown that the prevalence of malocclusion varies widely among several populations around the world; these variations may be due to differences in age, ethnicity, or size of the studied populations [8].

A study in the northern border region of Saudi Arabia was conducted on 500 Saudi adolescents (male and female) showed a considerable prevalence of malocclusion [9]. Another study in the southern region of Saudi Arabia including only males but with a large cross-section of different ethnicities also showed a high prevalence of malocclusion, and the most common malocclusion trait was crowding [2]. In the
central region, specifically in Riyadh, two studies have reported the predominance of Class I molar and canine relationships among Saudi children (12-16 years of age), and crowding was again the most common malocclusion trait [10], [11]. Another study was conducted in the eastern region of Saudi Arabia, on 330 female patients. They were categorised into an adolescent group (12-17 years) and an adult group (18-35 years). The study revealed that adolescents had more spacing problems and discrepancies involving overjet and overbite than did adults [12].

When reviewing the available literature for similar studies conducted in regions outside of Saudi Arabia, we found one study that showed 70% of adolescent Kuwaitis had moderate-to-severe malocclusion [13]. Another study in Turkey showed that only 3.5% of 1,507 patients had normal occlusion [14].

Because malocclusion can affect a patient's function and appearance, it is better if it is identified and treated at early stages to avoid further complications [15] and to increase the probability of a favourable prognosis [16]. Unfortunately, few studies have examined the prevalence of malocclusion in the western region of Saudi Arabia [17], [18]. Further studies in the western region would form a complete database to aid in planning appropriate orthodontic treatment services among all regions of Saudi Arabia and help to assess the resources needed to overcome the increasing number of malocclusion cases.

Thus, this study aimed to assess the prevalence of malocclusion among schoolchildren in the Holy City of Makkah, which is in the western region of Saudi Arabia.

Methods

Subjects

The study was conducted in the Holy City of Makkah, Saudi Arabia, and included 400 Saudi schoolchildren (200 females and 200 males who were born and lived in Holy City of Makkah, Saudi Arabia) aged 12-15 years. The sample was selected from ten intermediate schools, which were randomly chosen from different regions of the city (two schools from each region): the east, west, north, south, and centre of the city. Students with missing teeth due to previous extraction, previous orthodontic treatment, maxillofacial surgeries, or syndromes were excluded from the study.

Ethics

Ethical approval was obtained from the institutional review board of Umm Al Qura University Faculty of Dentistry and written informed consent was provided by the participants’ parents before the examination and after explaining the purpose and style of the study.

Training, Calibration, and Standardization

To standardise examinations, dentists independently examined 10 patients at baseline and discussed outcomes. Following this initial review, the dentists attended a lecture given by an orthodontist on objective diagnostic criteria. Finally, 2 weeks after the baseline examination, the dentists were calibrated by independently examining another 10 patients and comparing the results (Kappa = 0.88).

Clinical examinations and data collection

Clinical examinations were carried out in each school by the examiners using a disposable mouth mirror, ruler (numbering started with zero), and light source. Severely decayed teeth, periodontally affected teeth should be mentioned; the following traits were recorded:

- The molar relationship between right and left sides recorded according to Angle's Classification:
  
  Class I: Mesiobuccal cusp of the maxillary first molar occludes with the mesiobuccal groove of the mandibular first molar in maximum intercuspsation.

  Class II: Mesiobuccal cusp of the maxillary first molar occludes mesial to the mesiobuccal groove of the mandibular first molar in maximum intercuspsation.

  Class III: Mesiobuccal cusp of the maxillary first molar occludes distal to the mesiobuccal groove of the mandibular first molar in maximum intercuspsation [19].

- The canine relationship between right and left sides, according to Angle's Classification:
  
  Class I: Maxillary canine cusp tip occludes with the embrasure between the mandibular canine and first premolar.

  Class II: Maxillary canine cusp tip occludes mesial to the embrasure between the mandibular canine and first premolar.

  Class III: Maxillary canine cusp tip occludes distal to the embrasure between the mandibular canine and first premolar [19].

- Crowding/spacing: This is the malalignment of teeth. Crowding was measured as a negative value, while spacing was measured as a positive value.

- Overjet: This is the horizontal distance from the labial surface of the mandibular incisors to the labial surface of the maxillary incisors. It was considered positive when the upper incisors
overlapped with the lower, zero when they were edge to edge, and negative when the lower incisors overlapped with the upper incisors [20]. If the overjet was between 2 and 5 mm, it was considered normal based on examiner clinical experience; greater than 5 mm was considered increased, and less than 2 mm was considered decreased.

- **Overbite**: This is the vertical measurement of anterior maxillary teeth covering the mandibular anterior teeth in the normal occlusal position of the jaws [20]. If the overbite was one third, it was recorded as normal and more than two-thirds were considered a deep bite. If an overbite is zero, it would be edge-to-edge; in the case of no contact between the maxillary and the mandibular anterior teeth, it would be considered an open bite.

- **Anterior cross-bite**: This is defined as a palatal positioning of the anterior maxillary teeth to the mandibular anterior teeth [21].

- **Posterior cross-bite**: This is a transversal relationship between the upper and lower jaws, where the upper buccal cusps occlude the fossa of the lower teeth [22].

- **Scissor bite**: A scissor bite occurs “if the lingual cusp of the upper tooth occludes buccally to the buccal cusp of the corresponding lower tooth” [23].

- **Maxillary diastema**: This is defined as space/gap between the maxillary central incisors, which is normally present in the primary and mixed dentition and closed after the complete proper eruption of permanent maxillary canines [24].

### Statistical analyses

Primary outcomes included molar and canine relationships. Secondary measures included spacing or crowding, overbite, overjet, scissor bite, and maxillary midline diastema. We calculated descriptive statistics for the demographics and occlusion status for all participants. We reported means and standard deviations for continuous measures and numbers and percentages for categorical measures. Further, the Chi-square test (level of significance = 0.05) was used to compare any differences between male and female students about occlusion classification. Stata statistical software version 14 (StataCorp) was used to analyse the data.

### Results

Four hundred Saudi intermediate schoolchildren met the selected criteria (200 males and 200 females); the average age was 13.5 ± 0.9 years.

The most prevalent molar relationship was Class I (52.3%), which was higher in females (P < 0.05), followed by Class II (25%), and Class III (20.5%). Of the study subjects, 2.3% had severely destructed first molars due to dental caries. Therefore, their Angel's Classification could not be determined (NA) (Figures 1 and 2).

![Figure 1: The Prevalence of Molar Relationship (NA = Not applicable: missing tooth)](image1)

The prevalence of canine relationship was 56.5%, 27.8%, and 12.8% for Classes I, II, and III, respectively, with a higher prevalence of Class I in females (P < .05) (Table 1).

![Figure 2: The Prevalence of Canine Relationship (NA = Not applicable: missing tooth)](image2)

Crowding was the most prevalent malocclusion trait among the study sample (74%), but it was observed in males more than in females (P < 0.05). The next most prevalent trait was two-third overbite (33.5%), which was relatively comparable between the genders.

### Table 1: Prevalence of Molar and Canine Relationships, by gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molar Relationship</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class I</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class II</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class III</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA (Not applicable: missing tooth)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canine Relationship</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class I</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class II</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class III</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA (Not applicable: missing tooth)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Of all study subjects, 71.3% had a normal overjet measurement (2-5 mm); 22.3% had a cross-bite; spacing was recorded in 21.5% of them, all the three of the previously mentioned traits were more prevalent in females (P < 0.05).

Maxillary diastema was found in 22% of the cases. The least common trait was Scissor bite (2.5%), and only 3.8% of the subjects had a deep bite. The prevalence of open bite and the prevalence of an edge-to-edge incisal relationship were 3.3% and 4.5%, respectively. There was a statistically significant difference between males and females in all of the measured malocclusion traits (P < 0.05) except for overjet and maxillary midline diastema, both of which revealed no statistically significant difference (P > 0.05) (Table 2).

Table 2: Prevalence of Different Malocclusion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Is there spacing or crowding?</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crowding</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>80.5</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>67.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spacing</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Is there an overbite?</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>57.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two-Thirds</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep Bite</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Bite</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edge to Edge</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Is there a cross-bite?</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>82.5</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overjet Category</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal 2-5 mm</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>66.5</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced &lt;2 mm</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased &gt;0 mm</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Is there a scissor bite?</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Is there a maxillary midline diastema?</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>80.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P-values for comparing male vs female using chi-square test.

Discussion

The goal of this study was to determine the prevalence of malocclusion among school-aged children in the Holy City of Makkah. Four-hundred Saudi schoolchildren were examined, and their occlusion status was recorded. Their ages ranged from 12 to 15 years; this is the preferred age range for orthodontic intervention if malocclusion is found because it is the stage of late-mixed or early-permanent dentition. The subjects were randomly selected from five regions to represent Makkah's population: the north, south, east, west, and centre of the city.

Several studies have been published regarding the prevalence of malocclusion in different populations. However, due to the wide variation in ethnicities and endogenous traits, diverse methods, and differences in sample size, it is difficult to compare the findings.

The present study revealed that the Class I molar relationship was the most prevalent type of occlusion (52.3%), followed by Class II (25%), and Class III (20.5%), which is in agreement with findings by Meer et al., in the southern region of Saudi Arabia [2]; both reported a high prevalence of crowding and a lower prevalence of spacing. The high prevalence of molar Class I, followed by Class II, and Class III found in the present study is similar to that found in studies conducted in Kuwait, Turkey, Jordan, and the northern border region of Saudi Arabia [8], [9], [13], [14]. However, in Riyadh, Asery reported that Class I was the most prevalent, followed by Class III, then Class II; the same molar-relationship sequence was found in Dammam [10], [12]. The Class I canine relationship was more often observed in this study (56.5%) than Class II (27.8%) and Class III (12.8%). Dissimilar findings were reported in Kuwait by Behbehani, who found that the Class II canine relationship was more common than other types [13].

Crowding was the most prevalent malocclusion trait in the current study; it was recorded in 74% of the examined subjects, while in the northern border region of Saudi Arabia, Riyadh, and Aseer, it was much less prevalent (47.2%, 45.4%, and 43.8%, respectively) [2], [9], [10]. However, in Dammam City, crowding was evaluated for both arches: a prevalence of 73.4% was found for the maxillary arch and 67.8% for the mandibular arch [12]. Spacing problems were found in 21.5% of subjects in the present study; this finding is comparable to the findings in Riyadh (20.4%), Aseer (16.7%), the northern border region of Saudi Arabia (27.2%), Turkey (12.5%), Jordan (26.7%), and Colombia (25.9%) [2], [8], [9], [11], [14], [15].

We found that 55% of the study subjects had a normal overbite, which is comparable to the findings in the northern border region of Saudi Arabia (64.4%) and Turkey (53.5%) [9], [14]. A deep bite was found in 3.8%, which is higher than the 1.7% found in Kuwait [13] and less than the 8.8% found in Riyadh [11]. An open bite was found in 3.3%, which is comparable to the northern border region of Saudi Arabia (4.6%) and less than that in Colombia (9%) [9], [24]. The prevalence of edge-to-edge was 4.5%, which is less than that observed in Turkey (12.1%) [14].

We found that 71.3% had a normal overjet (2-5 mm), which is comparable to the findings in Riyadh (75.4%). A reduced overjet (< 2 mm) was found in 19.3%, which is more than that found in the northern border region of Saudi Arabia (11.4%). An increased overjet (> 5 mm) was found in 9.5%, which is lower in comparison to the findings in Jordan (24.7%) and Turkey (41.7%) [8], [9], [11], [14].

The prevalence of cross-bite was 22.3%, which is slightly high if compared to the findings in Aseer (12.6%), Riyadh (17.3%), and the northern border region of Saudi Arabia (14.2%) [2], [9], [10].

https://www.id-press.eu/mjms/index
Scissor bite was less prevalent than cross-bite, as its percentage was 2.5% in the present study. However, in Riyadh, there were no incidences of Scissor bite (0%) [10].

In this study, maxillary midline diastema was found in 22% of the study subjects, which is higher than that found in Jordan (6.9%), Turkey (4.5%), and Colombia (7%) [8], [14], [25]. A statistically significant difference (P < 0.005) was found between genders in this study among most of the malocclusion traits, which is in agreement with Celikoglu et al., who reported statistically significant differences between males and females with regard to the prevalence of crowding, cross-bite, overjet, and overbite categories [14]. However, Asiry reported different results in Riyadh, as there was no statistically significant difference between the genders among any of the malocclusion traits [10]. The disagreement in these findings could be due to differences in sample size, gender distribution, or registration method, or it could be due to different features of Makkah's population about other regions of Saudi Arabia or other countries.

Further studies are needed in different regions of Saudi Arabia to determine the cause of malocclusion. Studies should examine larger sample sizes and employ additional examination methods such as radiographs and study casts.

In conclusion, this cross-sectional study showed the most prevalent type of occlusion among the Holy City of Makkah to be the Class I molar relationship and revealed normal overjet findings in the majority of cases. Crowding was the most prevalent malocclusion trait, followed by a two-third overbite. A significant difference was detected between males and females in the prevalence of all parameters except for overjet and maxillary midline diastema. These descriptive findings will aid us in understanding the overall picture of malocclusion in these regions and in providing a database that includes the occlusion status among the Saudi population to facilitate the development of appropriate preventive and therapeutic programs. Additionally, they will further increase society’s awareness of malocclusion, its etiology, complications, and when it is necessary to see an orthodontist. However, this research has some limitations, as it was a cross-sectional study that used only basic examination instruments. Thus, further causality studies that include a larger population and utilise additional examination methods are recommended.
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