Efficacy of Oral Isotretinoin in Combination with Desloratadine in the Treatment of Common Vulgaris Acne in Vietnamese Patients
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Abstract

AIM: To evaluate the efficacy of oral isotretinoin used alone and in combination with desloratadine in the treatment of moderate acne vulgaris.

METHODS: A comparative clinical trial was undertaken to evaluate the efficacy of oral isotretinoin alone and in combination with desloratadine in the treatment of 62 moderate acne vulgaris patients. Patients were randomised into two groups with 31 patients in each group. Each study group's patient took 20 mg isotretinoin and 5 mg desloratadine per day. In the control group, patients took only 20 mg isotretinoin per day. The treatment time was 16 weeks. The evaluation and follow-up were done at week 2, 4, 8, 12 and 16 of the treatment.

RESULTS: The studied group had a better curative rate than the control group (45.2% versus 22.6%). The acne outbreaks rate of the studied group was lower than the control group (in week 2: 22.6% versus 6.52). Acne outbreaks rate of the studied group was lower than the control group (in week 2: 22.6% versus 6.52). Acne outbreaks rate of the studied group was lower than the control group (in week 2: 22.6% versus 6.52). Acne outbreaks rate of the studied group was lower than the control group (in week 2: 22.6% versus 6.52). Acne outbreaks rate of the studied group was lower than the control group (in week 2: 22.6% versus 6.52). Acne outbreaks rate of the studied group was lower than the control group (in week 2: 22.6% versus 6.52). Acne outbreaks rate of the studied group was lower than the control group (in week 2: 22.6% versus 6.52). Acne outbreaks rate of the studied group was lower than the control group (in week 2: 22.6% versus 6.52). Acne outbreaks rate of the studied group was lower than the control group (in week 2: 22.6% versus 6.52). Acne outbreaks rate of the studied group was lower than the control group (in week 2: 22.6% versus 6.52). Acne outbreaks rate of the studied group was lower than the control group (in week 2: 22.6% versus 6.52). Acne outbreaks rate of the studied group was lower than the control group (in week 2: 22.6% versus 6.52). Acne outbreaks rate of the studied group was lower than the control group (in week 2: 22.6% versus 6.52). Acne outbreaks rate of the studied group was lower than the control group (in week 2: 22.6% versus 6.52). Acne outbreaks rate of the studied group was lower than the control group (in week 2: 22.6% versus 6.52). Acne outbreaks rate of the studied group was lower than the control group (in week 2: 22.6% versus 6.52). Acne outbreaks rate of the studied group was lower than the control group (in week 2: 22.6% versus 6.52). Acne outbreaks rate of the studied group was lower than the control group (in week 2: 22.6% versus 6.52). Acne outbreaks rate of the studied group was lower than the control group (in week 2: 22.6% versus 6.52). Acne outbreaks rate of the studied group was lower than the control group (in week 2: 22.6% versus 6.52). Acne outbreaks rate of the studied group was lower than the control group (in week 2: 22.6% versus 6.52). Acne outbreaks rate of the studied group was lower than the control group (in week 2: 22.6% versus 6.52). Acne outbreaks rate of the studied group was lower than the control group (in week 2: 22.6% versus 6.52). Acne outbreaks rate of the studied group was lower than the control group (in week 2: 22.6% versus 6.52). Acne outbreaks rate of the studied group was lower than the control group (in week 2: 22.6% versus 6.52). Acne outbreaks rate of the studied group was lower than the control group (in week 2: 22.6% versus 6.52). Acne outbreaks rate of the studied group was lower than the control group (in week 2: 22.6% versus 6.52). Acne outbreaks rate of the studied group was lower than the control group (in week 2: 22.6% versus 6.52). Acne outbreaks rate of the studied group was lower than the control group (in week 2: 22.6% versus 6.52).

CONCLUSION: In the treatment of moderate acne vulgaris, oral isotretinoin in combination with desloratadine is more effective and has fewer side effects than using isotretinoin alone.

Introduction

Acne is a common skin disease, affecting approximately 85% of adults. The increased secretion of sebum, the follicular hyperkeratosis, the presence of Propionibacterium acnes and inflammatory response are the four major factors in the acne pathogenesis [1]. Currently, isotretinoin is the only drug that targets all the causative factors of acne. This medication is also effective in acne cases unsuccessfully treated with other therapeutic agents. However, isotretinoin results in some side effects including dry skin cracked lips, erythema and rashes.

Antihistamines H1 have been used for a long time to treat pruritus and allergic conditions. Recently, they have also been used in acne treatment because of their ability to reduce sebum and side effects created by isotretinoin [2]. Some studies also mention the effect of antihistamines in reducing inflammation and preventing acne scars.

In Vietnam, there has been no evaluation...
study done on the use of antihistamines in acne treatment.

This study aimed to evaluate the efficacy of oral isotretinoin used alone and in combination with desloratadine in the treatment of moderate acne vulgaris.

Methods

A comparative clinical trial on 62 moderate acne vulgaris patients was conducted at the National Hospital of Dermatology and Venereology, from August 2017 to August 2018.

A group of 62 patients were randomised into 2 equal groups: studied group and control group.

Both groups were treated with isotretinoin 20mg per day in 16 weeks. The combined treatment was 5mg desloratadine daily in 16 weeks for the studied group.

For the evaluation, we counted the number of acne lesions, scoring GAGS (Global Acne Grading System), recording unwanted effects after 2, 4, 8, 12 and 16 weeks of treatment.

Evaluation of acne outbreak during treatment was based on the appearance of new nodules at each re-examination. We used a scale of no outbreak (no new lesion), mild outbreak (< 5 nodules), moderate outbreak (5-10 nodules) and severe outbreak (≥ 10 nodules).

The evaluation of clinical efficacy after 16 weeks of treatment was scaled of:
- Excellent: no inflammation and non-inflammation lesions.
- Good: reduced by ≥ 90% of the number of lesions.
- Fair: reduced by ≥ 75-90% of the number of lesions.
- Moderate: reduced by ≥ 50-75% of the number of lesions.
- Poor: reduced by <50% of the number of lesions.

Results

General characteristics of the included objects
At the initiation time of treatment, the two groups had similar characteristics regarding patient gender, age, average illness duration, number of lesions, illness severity and isotretinoin dose, as presented in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Studied group (n = 31)</th>
<th>Control group (n = 31)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Male (n)</td>
<td>Female (n)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>p = 0.074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (years)</td>
<td>21.90 ± 4.1</td>
<td>22.06 ± 4.20</td>
<td>p = 0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean duration of disease</td>
<td>38.74 ± 34.44</td>
<td>43.16 ± 26.62</td>
<td>p = 0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(months)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean weight (kg)</td>
<td>52.30 ± 8.56</td>
<td>57.61 ± 9.90</td>
<td>p = 0.186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The number of inflammatory lesion</td>
<td>20.29 ± 1.94</td>
<td>19.54 ± 8.60</td>
<td>p = 0.172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The number of non-inflammatory lesion</td>
<td>48.80 ± 29.36</td>
<td>47.87 ± 25.44</td>
<td>p = 0.345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total no of lesions</td>
<td>68.87 ± 35.86</td>
<td>69.45 ± 28.95</td>
<td>P = 0.182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean GAGS score</td>
<td>22.90 ± 3.11</td>
<td>22.77 ± 3.03</td>
<td>p = 0.869</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Treatment results

Change in the number of inflammatory lesions

In the studied group, the number of inflammatory lesions was significantly lower than that in the control group, with p < 0.025 as shown in Figure 1.

Change in the illness severity score

An illness severity score of the studied group was significantly lower than that in the control group from week 4 onwards with p < 0.05 as shown in Table 2.

Treatment efficacy after 16 weeks

The studied group had 45.2% of acne resolved, higher than that in the control group: 22.6%, with p < 0.05 as shown in Figure 2.
Unwanted effects

Acne outbreak

The studied group had a significantly lower outbreak rate than that in the control group with \( p < 0.05 \) at week 2 and 4. At week 16, both groups had no outbreaks.

Other unwanted effects

At the time of follow-up, both groups experienced side effects such as dried skin, dried lips, itching. In the studied group, the rate of itching was significantly lower than the control group (week 2: 12.9%; week 4: 6.2% versus 64.5% in week 2 and 71% in week 4). There was no difference between the two groups regarding side effects such as dried lips, dried skin, flaking and blushing.

Discussion

Studies have shown that inflammation in acne starts very early, even before the appearance of the lesion and continues at all stages of acne lesion development [3]. Acne inflammation response is due to the release of inflammatory mediators such as histamine and leukotrienes. Therefore, the use of antihistamines can effectively prevent the formation of new acne lesions and have a significant impact on resolving the old acne lesions. In our study, the average number of inflammatory lesions in the studied group was significantly lower than that in the group using only isotretinoin. The reason probably is the combination of anti-inflammatory effects of both retinoids and antihistamines. However, we did not find any difference in the reduction of non-inflammatory lesions between the two groups.

After 16 weeks of treatment, both groups had good outputs: the studied group achieved 45.2% excellent, 35.5% good, and remaining 19.3% fair; the results in the control group were 22.6%, 29%, and 49.1% average response, respectively. However, our results showed that the desloratadine group was better (\( p < 0.05 \)). Experimental studies have shown that desloratadine inhibits inflammatory mediators including IL-4, IL-6, IL-8, IL-13, prostaglandins, leukotriene, tryptase and histamine [5]. Thus, desloratadine acts as an anti-inflammatory role. Also, desloratadine also reduces the formation of squalene, an important component of the sebum [6], [7]. In Lee's study, the studied group had 40% of cases clear, 50% improvement while the control group had 20% of patients clear, 40% improvement [2]. Yosef's study showed similar results [4].

After 16 weeks of treatment, both groups had good outputs: the studied group achieved 45.2% excellent, 35.5% good, and remaining 19.3% fair; the results in the control group were 22.6%, 29%, and 49.1% average response, respectively. However, our results showed that the desloratadine group was better (\( p < 0.05 \)). Experimental studies have shown that desloratadine inhibits inflammatory mediators including IL-4, IL-6, IL-8, IL-13, prostaglandins, leukotriene, tryptase and histamine [5]. Thus, desloratadine acts as an anti-inflammatory role. Also, desloratadine also reduces the formation of squalene, an important component of the sebum [6], [7]. In Lee's study, the studied group had 40% of cases clear, 50% improvement while the control group had 20% of patients clear, 40% improvement [2]. Yosef's study showed similar results [4].

Acne outbreaks are common side effects after starting treatment with isotretinoin for 2-4 weeks. The mechanism of the outbreak is unclear, but it is related to the release of \( P. \) *acnes* and sebaceous gland antigens, enhancing the inflammatory response [9]. In
our study, the anti-inflammatory effect of antihistamines may have resulted in mild outbreak rate of the studied group: 22.6% at week 2, 16.1% at week 4, that were lower than those in control group (45.2% and 38.7%, respectively). Similar results were seen in Lee’s study [2].

In our study, the itching was less common in the studied group, attributed to the effects of desloratadine.

In conclusion, treating moderate acne vulgaris with oral isotretinoin in combination with antihistamines enhances the curative effectiveness and reduces side effects of itching and acne outbreaks, that is linked with oral isotretinoin intake.
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