Key Issues in the Management of Multi-Drug Resistant Tuberculosis: A Case Report

Zorica Nanovic¹, Biserka Kaeva-Jovkovska², Gorica Breskovska², Milena Petrovska³

¹Institute of Lung Diseases and Tuberculosis, Skopje, Republic of Macedonia; ²University Clinic of Pulmonology and Allergology, Faculty of Medicine, Ss Cyril and Methodius University of Skopje, Skopje, Republic of Macedonia; ³Institute of Microbiology and Parasitology, Faculty of Medicine, Ss Cyril and Methodius University of Skopje, Skopje, Republic of Macedonia

Abstract

BACKGROUND: Global tuberculosis (TB) epidemic is being driven to an increasing extent by the emergence and spread of drug-resistant strains of Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex (MTBC). We present a case of primary multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB), highlighting Macedonian MDR-TB management issues.

CASE REPORT: A 39-year-old previously healthy Caucasian male, with no previous history of TB or close contact to TB, was admitted in referral TB-hospital due to respiratory bleeding. Chest X-ray revealed opacity with cavernous lesions in the right upper lobe. Sputum samples showed no presence of acid-fast bacilli (AFB) on fluorescence microscopy, but molecular tests (real-time PCR-based assay and multiplex PCR-based reverse hybridisation Line Probe Assay) confirmed the presence of MTBC, also revealing rifampicin and isoniazid resistance and absence of resistance to second-line anti-tubercular drugs. The strain was considered multidrug-resistant, lately confirmed by conventional methods in liquid and solid culture. Following the protocol of the World Health Organization, we started the longer treatment of MDR-TB comprised of at least five effective antimycobacterial drugs. To patient’s extreme non-adherence, we had to delay and modify the regimen (i.e. omitting parenteral aminoglycoside) and to discharge him from the hospital a month after directly observed therapy (DOT) in negative pressure room. As there is no legal remedy in our country regarding involuntary isolation, our patient continued the regimen under ambulatory control of referral TB-hospital. Ignoring the risk of additional acquisition of drug resistance and prolonged exposure of the community to MDR-TB strain - for which he was repeatedly advised - he decided to cease the therapy six months after beginning.

CONCLUSION: The benefit of molecular tests in the early diagnosis of TB and drug resistance is unequivocal for adequate treatment of resistant forms of TB. Whole genome sequencing ensures additional knowledge of circulating strains and their resistance patterns. These are essentials of effective TB control programs and can provide evidence to medical and legal authorities for more active policies of screening, involuntary confinement and compliance with therapy, and alternative modalities for successful treatment, as a part of infection control.

Introduction

Global tuberculosis (TB) epidemic is being driven to an increasing extent by the emergence and spread of drug-resistant strains of Mycobacterium tuberculosis [1] [2]. Multidrug-resistant TB (i.e. TB resistant to rifampicin and isoniazid, MDR-TB) presents major risk to global tuberculosis control, due to the great discrepancy between real and estimated burden, long-term (18-24 months) and expensive treatment, with less effective and more toxic drugs then first-line treatment, and low treatment success rate (54% globally) [3] [4] [5] [6].

According to World Health Organization (WHO), of the estimated 600,000 people newly eligible for MDR-TB treatment in 2016 (490,000 cases of MDR-TB and an additional 110,000 with rifampicin-resistant TB, RR-TB), only 129,689 (22%) received appropriate treatment. Of these MDR-TB cases, an estimated 6.2% will develop extensively resistant tuberculosis (i.e. MDR-TB plus resistance to at least one quinolone and at least one parenteral anti-tubercular drug, XDR-TB) [6]. Hence, timely and accurate diagnosis of
MDR-TB, particularly among new TB-cases, is essential to initiate appropriate treatment, which can prevent further amplification of drug resistance and break the chain of infection [7].

Exciting developments in the field of molecular diagnosis of TB and drug resistance have led to an era in which rapid testing for TB and rifampicin (RIF) resistance, almost always associated with MDR-TB, have been rolled out on a global scale [8] [9]. The WHO has recommended molecular testing as the standard of care for diagnosis of TB and MDR-TB around the world [8] [10] [11]. Furthermore, recently a new shortened treatment has been introduced for selected MDR-TB patients in terms to the redintegrate optimal balance of adherence and cure of MDR-TB patients, with adverse drug events and overall cost of the treatment [12].

The Republic of Macedonia is a country with a low total number of notified cases of MDR-TB over a ten years period, low incidence of MDR-TB (0.28/100,000 in 2016) and continuously declining TB incidence [6] [13]. However, this enviable epidemiological setting interpreted regarding intensive migration processes in the recent years should raise the vigilance for future.

Here we present a case of primary resistant MDR-TB and most likely imported from abroad, that is particularly useful in highlighting the Macedonian MDR-TB management issues.

**Case Report**

A 39-year old previously healthy Caucasian male, a smoker, employed as a professional driver on international truck transport, sought medical care at the local hospital due to respiratory bleeding. After initial assessment and supportive treatment, he was referred as an outpatient at referral hospital for TB in Macedonia-the Institute of lung diseases and tuberculosis. Initial examination of two sputum samples showed no presence of acid-fast bacilli (AFB) on fluorescence microscopy, but real-time PCR based molecular method (Xpert MTB/RIF; Cepheid, Sunnyvale CA, USA) confirmed the presence of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex (MTBC) along with detection of RIF resistance (i.e. rpoB gene mutation). On patient’s request, both analyses were repeated 20 days later in another two samples of sputum, with the same result. By national guidelines and protocol, molecular analyses were extended to testing of susceptibility to isoniazid (INH) and subsequently to second-line anti-tubercular drugs, by using multiplex PCR-based reverse hybridisation Line Probe Assays (LPA). Both assays confirmed the presence of MTBC, revealing mutations of the rpoB, katG and InhA genes, i.e. RIF and INH resistance, as well as an absence of resistance to second-line antitubercular drugs and ethambutol (GenoType MTBDRplus and GenoType MTDRLs, respectively; Hain Lifescience GmbH, Nehren, Germany). Patient’s illness was notified as MDR-TB, and the strain was considered to be multidrug-resistant. In the month that followed, *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* growth was verified with conventional methods in liquid and solid culture (Becton-Dickinson BACTEC MGIT 960 TB system, and Löwenstein Jensen medium, respectively). Resistance to the most important first-line anti-tubercular drugs-RIF and INH- was confirmed by conventional phenotypic drug-susceptibility testing (DST), using the liquid-culture-isolate from the very first sputum sample (Table 1).

**Table 1: Microbiological analyses of sputum from the MDR-TB patient during 2016 (before and over the course of treatment)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>Conventional methods</th>
<th>Molecular methods</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AFB smear/ microscop*</td>
<td>Solid medium*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 15</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Negative (result released on May 31)</td>
<td>Positive (result released on May 31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Negative (result released on May 31)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 04</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Positive (2+) (result released on May 31)</td>
<td>Positive (result released on May 16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Negative (result released on May 31)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 30</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Positive (1+) (result released on Jun 15)</td>
<td>Positive (result released on Jun 15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Positive (result released on Jul 18)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun 30</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Negative (result released on Aug 22)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Negative (result released on Aug 22)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug 22</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Negative (result released on Oct 10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Negative (result released on Oct 10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** AFB = Acid Fast Bacilli; INH = isoniazid; RIF = rifampicin; EM = ethambutol; SM = streptomycin; FLQ = fluoroquinolones; AG = aminoglycosides; CP = cyclic peptides; MTB = *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*; A = early morning sputum; B = spot sputum specimen; R = resistant; S = sensitive; *Auramine-rodhamine scanning for tuberculosis (Becton-Dickinson, Germany); Löwenstein Jensen medium; BACTEC MGIT 960 TB system (Becton-Dickinson); Phenotypic drug-susceptibility fast (DST), conventional proportion method on Löwenstein Jensen medium; *Resistance pattern from the isolate in liquid medium (Apr 15).”

Although the patient was repeatedly informed and advised about the seriousness of his health condition and health hazard for his family and community, it took a month and a half before obtaining consent and starting an appropriate anti-tubercular regimen. The major obstacles in this way were the stigma (i.e. denying the presence of disease) and behaved aggressively toward health care providers. At
hospital admission, the patient presented in good health condition, complaining only to rare productive cough. He had no personal or familial history of TB/TB treatment or known close contact, i.e. exposure to TB. Laboratory analyses showed only slightly raised erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) of 36 mm in the first hour, without deviation in complete blood counting and routine blood analyses, and with HIV negative status. Chest X-ray revealed opacity with cavernous lesions in the right upper lobe (Figure 1).

Following the guidelines of the World Health Organization (WHO), we started the so-called longer treatment of MDR-TB composed of at least five effective anti-tubercular drugs. Due to the patient’s strictly declining of parenteral drugs, we had to modify the regimen and conduct the intensive phase with three (instead of four) core second-line anti-tubercular drugs (levofloxacin, cycloserine, prothionamide) plus pyrazinamide and ethambutol (i.e. omitting the parenteral aminoglycoside) (Figure 2).

After a month of directly observed therapy (DOT) and isolation in negative pressure room, two follow-up samples of sputum were again smear-negative for AFB, sedimentation rate dropped into the normal range (6 mm in the first hour) and control chest X-ray showed no regression of changes. The patient was discharged from the hospital against medical advice and advised to continue the intensive phase of the regimen under ambulatory supervision (i.e. monthly control and take up of medicines in the Institute).

Three months after the beginning of the treatment, he stopped making controls. The last available information provided by medical patronage system confirmed that he ceased the therapy early in December 2016. The only control after cessation took place in June 2017: routine laboratory analyses didn’t show any deviations, and microbiological examination of two sputum samples (smear microscopy, real-time PCR, liquid and solid medium culture) yielded no positive results. But, the changes in the right upper lobe on chest X-ray were still present, indicating the activity of the process (Figure 3).

Discussion

This case report emerges several important issues pertinent to the overall management of MDR-TB.

Sputum smear microscopy is a commonly used test for diagnosis of pulmonary TB in low- and middle-income countries. In addition to the key disadvantage—the low sensitivity of 50-60% - it requires trained staff and at least two sputum samples to analyse while providing no information about drug resistance and distinction of non-tuberculous mycobacteria [14]. In the last decade, WHO set out
the efforts to establish infection control and overcome the problem with drug-resistant forms of TB, by implementing new molecular techniques for detection of Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex and drug resistance to anti-tubercular drugs. There are several molecular assays for rapid diagnosis of TB and determination of drug susceptibility profile, endorsed by WHO: Line Probe Assays (LPA) in 2008, and Xpert MTB/RIF assay in 2011 [10] [11]. Shortly after WHO approval, Ling, Zwerling and Pai conducted a meta-analysis to evaluate the performance of most frequently used LPA-GenoType MTBDR [15]. GenoType MTBDR assays demonstrate excellent accuracy for rifampicin resistance (pooled sensitivity and specificity estimates of 98.1% and 98.7%, respectively). While specificity was excellent for isoniazid (99.5%), sensitivity estimates were modest and variable (84.3%). Similarly, the Cochrane review of 27 studies of adults with pulmonary TB, indicated that Xpert MTB/RIF is accurate for detecting rifampicin resistance, sensitive (95%) and specific (98%) [16].

Also, it is more accurate than smear microscopy for diagnosing TB: it is highly sensitive (89%), detecting almost all cases, and specific (99%). Authors concluded that Xpert MTB/RIF provides accurate results which can allow rapid initiation of MDR-TB treatment, pending results from conventional culture and DST. Likewise, the latest release of WHO Manual for Xpert MTB/RIF implementation (2014) contains an extended recommendation for implementing the Xpert MTB/RIF assay, i.e. to be used rather than conventional microscopy and culture as an initial diagnostic test in all adults suspected of having TB [17].

Our case is a good confirmation of accuracy, precision and reproducibility of TB molecular diagnostic tests. Determined by two different molecular (genotyping) methods, drug resistance profile was identical in two separate sputum samples and subsequently confirmed by conventional DST. This gains importance when making therapy decision, especially if based only on conventional methods. Due to sputum low bacterial load (i.e. AFB negative on fluorescence microscopy), one should wait for the culture results (2-6 weeks) to start anti-tubercular therapy, and another 6 weeks to modify the regimen respecting the results of conventional DST. Conversely, molecular tests used in our case allow detection of MTB and RIF resistance in 2 hours, and detection of MTB and resistance to first-and second-line anti-tubercular drugs in 24 hours [15] [18]. This emphasises the importance of molecular tests for the timely and accurate diagnosis of TB and resistance pattern, particularly in smear-negative microscopy [6] [8] [19] [20].

Drug resistance in MTBC is caused by mutations in restricted regions of its genome [21] [22]. As technical possibilities in our laboratory don't allow sequencing of drug-resistance associated regions of MTBC genome and subsequent detailed description of mutations, detection is limited to mutations already included in molecular tests mentioned above. In terms of this, a clinician must count on occasional false-positive RIF-resistance result on molecular test, which has to be promptly resolved as it seriously implicate therapy decision-to start first line anti-tubercular regimen and take the risk of resistance amplification to pyrazinamide and ethambutol, to conduct the lengthy and much more toxic MDR-TB treatment, or to defer starting any treatment while awaiting results of DST? [23] [24] [25] [26]. This complex problem could be overcome by advanced genotyping methods (DNA fingerprinting, whole genome sequencing). Studying the isolates at molecular level allows not only detection of MTBC and mutations in genes associated with drug resistance, but also discrimination between MTBC strains, distinction of co-infection with multiple strains, endogenous reactivation and exogenous re-infection, existence of subpopulations with different resistance profiles, assessing the possible treatment failure, as well as clustering of isolates to particular genotype family databases (sequencing libraries from genomic DNA)-which may implicate pathogenesis, virulence, propensity to acquire resistance more easily under conditions of suboptimal treatment, and to spread in the community [27] [28] [29] [30] [31] [32] [33]. The importance of former molecular tools for epidemiological tracking in the community (i.e. detecting the sources of infection, pathways of spread of MTBC strains, distinct geographic distribution) is unequivocal and has made the worldwide designing of prevention and control strategies to block further transmission possible [34].

The low bacterial load in spot sputum samples shouldn't underestimate the possibility of variable bacillary as a function of time, and so the persistence of the chain of infection [35]. Occasional sputum positivity in our patient is very likely, considering subjective sample collection for smear microscopy from a non-cooperative person. Moreover, due to negligent patient behaviour (postponing of hospital admission, shortening the regimen much earlier), we have to anticipate not only deterioration of his health condition over time, but the potential prolonged exposure of the environment to MDR-strain of Mycobacterium tuberculosis [18]. As the number of MDR-TB cases continues to rise worldwide, so does the amplification of MDR-TB strains during treatment. This amplification is generally assumed as a result from in vivo evolution of drug resistance caused by poor therapy compliance and/or inadequate treatment, considering the prior anti-TB treatment is a major risk factor associated with MDR-TB [7] [22] [36] [39]. Given the fact that our patient had no history of TB or TB treatment, nor he has other apparent risk factors or contacts for TB, it was reasonable to consider the case as TB with primary multidrug resistance [27] [28] [34] [40] [41]. To make the situation even more serious, our patient requested modification of intensive phase of the anti-tubercular regimen, and interrupted it early in the course, before initiation of
continuation phase. It has to be stressed that extreme non-adherence like this, could further compromise the infection control in an international context (i.e. continuous transmission of infection, additional acquisition of drug resistance via “amplification of resistance” and subsequent developing an XDR) [18] [34] [41].

This emphasises the critical importance of clinical monitoring during DOT, introduced and recommended by WHO [30] [42]. However, there are some articles, mainly from the African continent, reporting on the successful alternative modality of treatment of smear-positive pulmonary TB and MDR-TB instead of conventional DOT strategy. The essence of this home-based “family-member variant of DOT” approach, is to involve a reliably family-member with responsibility of providing appropriate conditions (i.e. isolation, natural ventilation), supplying and directly supervising the taking of medicines on regular basis, and providing feedback about patient’s condition to his attending physician [43] [44]. Although this kind of practice is primarily related to resource-limited settings and is not anticipated in current Program for TB control in Macedonia, it certainly deserves attention in future for extreme cases as we presented here.

The previous issue raises the crucial ethical dilemma: how to deal with dual standards and protect the human rights of the minority (i.e. non-adherent TB patient)-at the expense of majority (i.e. community)? Patients generally have the right to refuse to follow professional medical advice. Those with infectious TB disease, however, “may lose the right to refuse such advice if health officials believe these persons are putting the public at risk for infection” [45]. There are rare situations where, despite all reasonable efforts, patients do not adhere to the prescribed course of treatment, or are unwilling or unable to comply with infection prevention and control measures. For such cases, the interests of other members of the community may justify efforts to isolate the patient involuntarily, by a pre-existing law or policy. WHO states that involuntary isolation should never be a routine component of TB program. Involuntary isolation should be limited to exceptional circumstances, concerning infectiousness and non-adherence of a patient to effective treatment, and after all reasonable measures to ensure adherence have been attempted and proven unsuccessful [46]. Governments have the legal authority to enact laws regarding infectious persons, and TB programs should develop policies in line with the guidance that clearly explains when and how involuntary isolation of TB patients is allowable. Some countries have already implemented the option for legal intervention, i.e. involuntary confinement in such cases [47] [48]. In our country, there is still no solution within the framework of existing legislation regarding involuntary isolation and compliance with the recommended combination of drugs, as well as interruption of therapy.

In the transmission of resistant strains, the greatest individual risk factor is sharing living quarters with individuals who have defaulted on their treatment of TB or relapse cases [49]. Fortunately, close contact screening among household members (spouse, and two children aged 3 and 7) showed no presence of latent tuberculosis infection (LTBI) or active TB. Nevertheless, it raises the question of preventive treatment for contacts with MDR-TB, especially when children involved in this problem, as well as the duration of the follow-up period. The current modest recommendation reflects the limited quality of evidence and comes down to strict clinical observation and close monitoring for the development of active TB for at least two years for contacts with MDR-TB cases [50].

In conclusion, the benefit of molecular tests in the early diagnosis of TB and drug resistance is unequivocal for adequate and in time treatment of resistant forms of TB. Whole genome sequencing of pathogens, also, is becoming part of routine practice for establishing resistance patterns and transmission tracking. To sum up, the current comprehensive technology has the power to clarify our understanding of the links in MDR-TB transmission between low- and high-incidence areas. Given these points, our report implies that MDR-TB represents not just a regional epidemic in some parts of the world, but also a challenge to international health, including countries with low prevalence of MDR-TB. Knowledge of circulating strains and their resistance patterns is essential to developing effective TB control programs within occupational health, to curtail the transmission of TB in the country and the region. The greater certainty of transmission data can provide evidence to justify more active policies of screening and isolation as part of infection control. Increased vigilance for TB among workers whose job description includes frequent border crossing should be surely taken into consideration as a part of these screening policies. Finally, we believe an urgent need is existing for in-depth discussion between medical and legal authorities about the problem of involuntary confinement and enforced treatment of non-adherent patients. While waiting for the legal resolution of this ethical and social problem, clinicians should consider alternative modalities for the successful treatment of patients with MDR-TB and protect the patients themselves, their families and the community. Regarding this, foreign experience in home-based DOT could be adapted to local conditions and would be a good starting point in moving forward.
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