Evaluation of Combined Use of Temocillin Disk and Mastdisks Inhibitor Combination Set Against Polymerase Chain Reaction for Detection of Carbapenem-Resistant Enterobacteriaceae
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Abstract

AIM: To evaluate the diagnostic performance of MDI and temocillin disk (30 µg) for detection of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae in comparison to real-time PCR.

MATERIAL AND METHODS: Fifty specimens submitted to the Microbiology Laboratory of Ain Shams University Hospitals and showed resistance to carbapenem drugs through routine culture and susceptibility testing, were assessed by both temocillin disk (30 µg) and MDI set to detect carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae. Results were compared to real-time PCR for detection of carbapenemase genes blaKPC, blaNDM, blaOXA-48-like, blaVIM, and blaIMP.

RESULTS: Our work revealed that most of the CPE isolates were Klebsiella species (62%) followed by E. coli (24%), Serratia (10%) and Citrobacter (4%). Phenotypic detection of carbapenem-resistant classes revealed OXA-48 in 96% of isolates, followed by MBLs (82%), and KPC (34%). All isolates were negative for AmpC. Detection of the genes by real-time PCR showed that the predominance was for the blaOXA-48 gene (96%) then blaVIM (94%) followed by blaNDM (54%), blaKPC (46%) and finally blaIMP (40%). Evaluation of the MDI set against PCR showed sensitivity (82.1%) and specificity (70%). The temocillin disk had 97.9% sensitivity and 50% specificity. The evaluation of Temocillin disk and MDI in combination for detection of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae showed 99.7% sensitivity and 35% specificity.

CONCLUSION: Adding Temocillin disk to Mastdisks ID inhibitor combination set provides a simple, easy, rapid and highly sensitive test that can be used for screening and classification of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae. However, it still needs confirmation by molecular techniques.

Introduction

Multidrug-resistant organisms are markedly increasing among bacterial species, substantially, Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae which have been reported worldwide [1].

Carbapenems are considered the drugs of the last choice for treating multidrug-resistant pathogens due to their high clinical efficacy and safety [2]. There is a major problem concerning resistance to carbapenem groups of drugs as it limits treatment options and thereby obligates for the use of antibiotics with high toxicity like tigecycline and colistin [3]. The most common mechanism of carbapenem resistance is the production of carbapenemase enzymes [2].

At first, carbapenemases were chromosomal-mediated in a few specific species, but they are now plasmid-mediated, or both chromosomally and plasmid-mediated. This leads to the much more aggressive spread of resistance due to horizontal transmission among various bacterial species and genera [4].

Carbapenemases are classified according to their functional and molecular properties. Molecular classes A and D are the β-lactamases having serine at their active site, whereas molecular class B β-lactamases are all metalloenzymes with zinc at their active site [5].

The most clinically significant enzymes among the class A carbapenemases are K.
**Materials and Methods**

**Patient population**

This study was conducted on 50 specimens randomly selected from different clinical specimens submitted to the Microbiology Laboratory of Ain Shams University Hospitals for routine culture and susceptibility testing that showed resistance to carbapenem drugs by both Kirby Bauer disk diffusion method (They were nonsusceptible, i.e., intermediate or resistant to the carbapenems if zone diameter was < 23 for meropenem (10 µg) and imipenem (10 µg) and Broth microdilution method if MICs were > 1 µg/ml for meropenem and imipenem (10). The isolates included 31 (62%) Klebsiella species, 12 (24%) E.coli, 5 (10%) Serratia and 2 (4%) Citrobacter.

**Most disks ID inhibitor combination disks (MDI)**

Most disks ID inhibitor combination disks (MDI) (Mast Diagnostics) method was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Four disks were included: disk A, containing a carbapenem (meropenem, 10 µg); disk B, consisting of meropenem (10 µg) and an MBL inhibitor; disk C, consisting of meropenem (10 µg) with a KPC inhibitor; and disk D, containing meropenem (10 µg) with an AmpC inhibitor. The interpretation of the test is as follows. The zone of inhibition of disk A is compared to the zones of inhibition of each of disks B, C, and D. If disk B shows a zone difference of ≥ 5 mm from disk A, the organism is considered as producing MBL activity as in (Figure 1). If disk C shows a zone difference of ≥ 4 mm from disk A, the organism is considered as producing KPC activity. If disk C and disk D both show a zone difference of ≥ 5 mm from disk A, the organism is considered as producing AmpC activity coupled with porin loss (impermeability).
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**Figure 1:** Mastdiisk inhibitor combination set showing MBL producing strain (Disk B > disk A by 5 mm)

**Detection of OXA 48**

Temocillin testing using a modified zone diameter cut - off of < 12 mm (Figure 2) was used as a tool for discriminating Ambler class D carbapenemase producers [14].
E. coli ATCC 25922 and Klebsiella ATCC 700603 were included as negative control.

Figure 2: Disc diffusion susceptibility test showing Temocillin resistant strain (OXA48 producer)

Genotypic Identification

All test isolates were subcultured on blood agar overnight at 36°C, and five selected colonies were suspended in 1.5 ml microtubes containing 180 μL sterile distilled water, then whole genomic DNA was extracted by QiAamp DNA Mini kit (QIAGEN Sample and Assay Technologies, Germany) according to manufacturer’s instruction. Suitable primers each targeting selected regions of the blaKPC, blaNDM, blaOXA – 48 - like blaVIM and blaIMP genes were used (Table 1). Amplification reactions were performed in a final volume of 25 μL containing 12.5 μL 2 x HRM PCR Master Mix (Thermo Scientific, Lithuania, EU), and two primer mix, 9.5 μL RNase - free H2O, and one μL of the template DNA.

The optimized cycling protocol for High Resolution Melting (HRM) Analysis was as follow: initial denaturation at 95°C for 5 minutes followed by 40 cycles at 95°C for 10 seconds, 55°C for 30 seconds, and 72°C for 10 seconds, followed by HRM analysis of 65 - 95°C for 2 seconds (Rotor-Gene, QIAGEN) [15].

Table 1: Primers targeting selected regions of the blaKPC, blaNDM, blaOXA-48-like, blaVIM, and blaIMP genes (Monteiro et al. 2012)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Template</th>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Sequence (5’-3’)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>blaOXA-48</td>
<td>Forward primer</td>
<td>TGTTTTGGGTGCGCATCATGAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse primer</td>
<td>GTAAMRATGCTTGGTCTGCGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>blaNDM-1</td>
<td>Forward primer</td>
<td>TTGGCCTGTGCTGCTGTTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse primer</td>
<td>ACACGAGTAGACAAATCAGCCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>blaKPC</td>
<td>Forward primer</td>
<td>TCGCTAAACCTGGCAAGCGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse primer</td>
<td>TTACTGCCCGTTGACGCCCAATCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>blaIMP</td>
<td>Forward primer</td>
<td>GAGTGCGCTATTCCCTCTATTCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse primer</td>
<td>AATGYACACATATYRTAATC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>blaVIM</td>
<td>Forward primer</td>
<td>GGTGCGCATATGCAGGCAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse primer</td>
<td>AATGCGAGCAGCAGGATAG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results

Phenotypic detection of carbapenem-resistant classes using carbapenemase detection set by (MastDiagnostics) and temocillin disk revealed that the highest positive results were for OXA48 (detected in 96% of samples), followed by MBLs (82%), then for KPC (34%), while all samples were negative for AmpC.

Some specimens showed two or more positive carbapenemase producing enzymes, 46% of specimens were positive for both OXA 48 and MBL, whereas 32% specimens were positive for OXA 48, MBL and KPC in combination. Only 2% showed positive results for both OXA 48 and KPC.

By applying real-time PCR (singleplex) to detect blaKPC, blaNDM, blaOXA – 48 - like, blaVIM and blaIMP genes in our samples, blaOXA – 48 - like gene showed the highest percentage (96%) followed by blaVIM, blaNDM, blaKPC, and blaIMP with (94%) (54%), (46%), and (40%), respectively (Figure 3).

Statistical evaluation of the diagnostic performance of the carbapenemase detection set and temocillin disk against PCR showed, a significant difference between both methods regarding KPC and MBLs (P-value < 0.001). However, no significant difference was detected between temocillin disk and PCR regarding OXA - 48 (P value was 2.239).

By comparing the results of temocillin disk specifically used for detection of OXA 48 and PCR, 47 out of 50 specimens were true positive (97.9%) and one specimen was a false negative (2.1%). One out of two specimens was true negative (50%), and one specimen was false positive (50%). Temocillin disk had 97.9% sensitivity, 50% specificity, 97.95% PPV, 50% NPV and efficacy was 73.95%.

Evaluation of class A (KPC) detection by using disk C compared to PCR detection of the blaKPC gene showed that 15 out of 23 specimens were true positive (65.2%) and eight were a false negative (34.8%). Whereas 25 out of 27 were true negative (92.6%) and two were false positive (7.4%). Sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV was 65.2%, 92.6%, 88.24%, 75.78% respectively and efficacy was 78.9%.

We compared results of class B (MBL) detection by using disk B with PCR to detect blaVIM, blaNDM, and blaIMP genes. It was found that 40 out
of 46 (87%) specimens were true positive and six (13%) was a false negative. Whereas three out of 4 (75%) specimens were true negative and one (25%) was a false positive. Sensitivity, specificity for PPV, NPV was 87%, 75%, 97.5%, 33% respectively and efficacy was 90.2%.

Finally, the whole detection set was evaluated according to PCR. It was shown that 33 out of 40 specimens were true positive (82.5%) and seven were a false negative (17.5%). Whereas seven out of ten specimens were true negative (70%) and three were false positive (30%). Overall sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV were 82.1%, 70%, 91.6%, 50% respectively and efficacy was 85.7%.

Evaluation of the combined use of Temocillin disk and Mastdisks ID inhibitor combination set (MDI) in parallel for detection of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae showed 99.7% sensitivity and 35% specificity.

A high percentage of positive isolates for OXA 48 (96%) and the low percentage of negative OXA 48 isolates (4%) resulted in low specificity for Temocillin disk as well as specificity of Temocillin disk and MDI in combination. One isolate was a false negative (2.1%), one out of two isolates (that were negative by PCR) was true negative (50%), and one was false positive (50%).

Discussion

Antibiotic resistance is a major cause for concern in Enterobacteriaceae family. The rate of resistance is increasing especially carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae. Therefore, the need for a simple and accurate method is crucial for detection of these bacteria.

Some phenotypic confirmation tests have been performed for the detection of carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae. These include: modified Hodge test (MHT), inhibitor-based methods using metal chelators for MBLs (e.g., MBL Etest) and boronic acid for KPCs [13].

In this study, Mastdisks ID inhibitor combination disks kit (MDI) (Mast Diagnostics) was evaluated for the detection of Enterobacteriaceae producing carbapenemases. Sanjeev and Mehra (2015), stated that simultaneous using of both inhibitors (PBA for KPC detection and DPA for MBL detection) as in our study, seems to restrict the activity of both carbapenemases against meropenem, allowing the detection of isolates that co-produce these enzymes in almost all cases [16]. Their study has shown the combined use of two inhibitors can detect and differentiate carbapenemase production. However, Mastdisks combination inhibitor set was not designed to detect OXA – 48 - like B-lactamase in Enterobacteriaceae. That is why we performed disk diffusion assay with temocillin disk to detect OXA – 48 - like producing Enterobacteriaceae. The high - level resistance to the B - lactam temocillin might be presumptive evidence for the presence of OXA - 48 [17].

We found that 96% of our isolates were sensitive to temocillin disk used for OXA - 48 detection. In areas where OXA - 48 producers are predominant, temocillin testing using a modified zone diameter cut - off of <12 mm [18], could be an easy and useful tool for discriminating Ambler class D carbapenemase producers from extended spectrum β-lactamases and/or AmpC - producing isolates among carbapenem - non - susceptible Enterobacteriaceae isolates. About temocillin, 98.1% (317/323) of the OXA – 48 - producing Enterobacteriaceae isolates displayed disk inhibition zones of <12 mm compared with only 10.0% (92/919) of the carbapenemase - negative isolates [14].

Also, 82% and 34% were positive for MBLs using disk B and KPC using disk C respectively. These results were concordant with a study conducted by Doyal and co-workers (2012) [19], who stated that 100%, 93% and 49% of their isolates were positivity for OXA - 48, MBLs and KPC detection respectively. Another study conducted by Andrea et al. (2014) [20], they found that 92% of their CPE isolates were OXA 48 positive, 49.5% were harbouring double positive KPC and MBL when used the Mastdisks ID inhibitor combination. Although their study was in Italy, their results were similar to our results, so we can conclude that class D is prevalent there like in Egypt while other classes are not.

A high prevalence of CPE can be found in southern Europe and Asia, Greece, Italy, Turkey, and Israel than in other parts of the world. Historical/cultural relationship and exchange of populations with other countries of high prevalence can influence the type of CPE. Cross-border transfer of patients, travel, medical tourism and refugees might also play an important role. This is particularly true for the spread of OXA - 48 in France and Belgium from North Africa, and in Germany, probably from Turkey, or the identification in UK of NDM - 1 producers of Indian origin. The increasing frequency of CPE could be related to the increasing prevalence of OXA - 48 producers in many European countries and the exportation of KPC producers from Greece and Italy to other European countries [21].

Some of our isolates showed double or triple positive for carbapenemase enzymes. Both OXA 48 and MBL were positive in 23 isolates (46%), whereas 32% showed positive results for OXA48, MBL and KPC altogether. Only (2%) were positive for OXA48 and KPC together (2%). This means that OXA - 48 was positive in most our isolates in combination with other classes. OXA - 48 enzyme in
Enterobacteriaceae, can give weak level carbapenem resistance and with cross-resistance with KPC enzymes and MBLs tend to confer broader effects on the resistance profile of the host strain [22]. OXA-48 enzyme was positive in most of our isolates combined with other resistant classes that made OXA-48 carbapenemase production more evident and which otherwise couldn’t be detected if present alone.

In this study, we applied real-time PCR to detect blaKPC, blaNDM, blaOXA – 48 - like blaVIM, and blaIMP genes. OXA 48 gene showed the highest percentage (96%) then VIM (94%) followed by NDM (54%), KPC (46%) and finally IMP (40%). This could be explained by the fact that Amber class D is weak and needs the coexistence of other classes to be expressed and so had the highest percentage. Also, in a study conducted by Samar (2016); blaOXA – 48 - like gene’s percentage was the highest (28.6%), followed byblaKPC (19%), blaVIM (9.5%) and finally blaNDM (2.4%) [23].

Most disks ID inhibitor combination disks had a sensitivity and specificity of 87% and 75% respectively for detecting MBL producers, 65.2% and 92.6% respectively, for detecting KPC producers. In our study, evaluation of carbapenemase detection set for detection of all classes of CPE in comparison to PCR showed sensitivity 82.1%, specificity 70%, PPV 91.6% and NPV 50%. Samar [25]; in her study stated that the combined disk test showed sensitivity 100% and specificity 88.9%. Doyal and co-workers (2012), stated that overall, the sensitivity and specificity for MDI were 78% and 93% respectively, MDI performed well for the detection of KPCs and NDMs but poorly for VIMs, IMPs, and OXA – 48 - like enzymes [19].

On the other hand, temocillin disk had 97.9% sensitivity, 50% specificity, 97.95% PPV and 50% NPV and efficacy was 73.95%. Another study conducted by Woodford et al. (2014) in England, the sensitivity and specificity of temocillin were 90.7% and 88.2% respectively with PPV 27.6 % and NPV 99.5% [24].

Huang and co-workers (2014), support previous data and confirm that high - level resistance to temocillin is a highly sensitive and specific phenotypic surrogate marker of OXA-48 productions in strains with decreased susceptibility to a carbapenem, although in itself it could not differentiate OXA-48 from VIM- type carbapenemases [18], [25].

However, the overall sensitivity and specificity of both Temocillin disk and carbapenemase detection set tests in our study for detection of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae was 99.7% and 35% respectively.

Low specificity for Temocillin disk as well as specificity of Temocillin disk and MDI in combination could be explained by the high percentage of positive isolates for OXA 48 (96%) and the low percentage of negative isolates (4%). Only two isolates were negative by PCR, one was true negative (50%), and the other was false positive (50%) thus reducing Temocillin disk test specificity.

So, adding Temocillin disk to carbapenemase detection set provides an easy, inexpensive, rapid and highly sensitive test for screening of a large number of isolates for CPE. However, it still needs confirmation by molecular techniques.
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