A Histologic and Histomorphometric Analysis of Bone Tissue Regeneration with Perforated Bone Allograft in Rabbit Femur Defect
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Abstract

AIM: The aim of this study is to provide a comparative histopathological evaluation of the regeneration of bone defect filling with perforated antibiotic-impregnated bone allograft.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: Seventy-two healthy rabbits (24 rabbits in each group) were used for this study. Bone defects (3-mm diameter, 10-mm depth) were created in the femur. Human femoral head prepared according to the Marburg bone bank system was used as a bone allograft. The control group did not receive any filling. The experimental groups were as follows: Group 1 - the defects were filled with bone allografts and Group 2 - Perforated gentamicin-impregnated bone allografts. The animals were euthanized after 14, 30, and 60 days. Evaluations consisted of histology at 14-, 30-, and 60-days post-surgery.

RESULTS: A mature bone formation in the group without a bone allograft occurred after 30 days and the group with antibiotic impregnation.

CONCLUSION: We believe that a perforated allograft as a result of clinical trials may be obvious and economically affordable in the treatment of bone defects. The use of gentamycin-impregnated bone allografts may be of value in the prevention and treatment of bone infections.

Introduction

The recovery of bone defects caused by various causes such as tumors, infections, and trauma is a complicated area of orthopedics medicine [1], [2]. At present, various methods are being used for reconstruction and repair of lost bone tissues [2], [3]. One of these methods is the use of autogenous or allogeneic bone grafts. Every year, more than two million bone transplantation procedures are performed worldwide, which is the second most common tissue transplant after blood transfusion [2], [4], [5]. Transplants perform the functions of mechanical support and osteoregeneration, including osteoconduction, osteoinduction, and osteogenesis [6]. The clinical choice of biomaterials depends on many factors, including etiopathogenic aspects, the severity of the damage, financial and economic costs, and many other factors [1], [7]. The study of the biological properties of various materials is used for bone grafting and the search for new materials is an urgent problem [2], [4].
Materials and Methods

Preparation of bone allografts

For this study, the femoral heads were used, which were obtained from a living donor (in patients after arthroplasty surgery on the hip joint) according to the national low. The experiment included heads of the femur with a diameter of 50–55 mm, without sclerosis and damage. Bone allografts were perforated according to the developed technique [19]. In brief, perforations were made in the head of the femur using a special device at an equal distance. Next, heat treatment was carried out according to the Marburg Bone Bank System in Lobator sd-2 sterilizer (Telos Company, Germany) [20].

Animals and surgical procedures

In this study, 72 outbred rabbits were enrolled, all adult animals (under 5 months of age) with a weight 2225 ± 63 g. The European Convention for the protection of vertebrate animals used for experimental and other scientific purposes (2010) was followed during all stages of research. All procedures were approved by the Ethics Committee of Karaganda Medical University (№13 25.09.2017). The rabbits were placed in special cages. Throughout the study, the rabbits were kept at room temperature (22 ± 2°C) at 40–50% humidity and under a 12-h light-dark cycle. The rabbits were fed standard rabbit pellets and tap water.

The rabbits were randomly divided into three experimental groups (n = 24 in each group). All rabbits received the same surgical procedure. General anesthesia was induced a mixture of ketamine 35 mg/kg and xylazine 5 mg/kg, which was administered intramuscularly. Each rabbit was stabilized on the operating table. After cutaneous incision, bone defects were formed in the metaphysis of the femur using a drill with a diameter of 3 mm and a depth of 10 mm. In the first, experimental group, the bone defects were filled with a perforated bone allograft. In the second, experimental group, the defects were filled with a perforated antibiotic-impregnated bone allograft. Before the operation, the bone allografts were soaked in a 40 mg/ml gentamicin solution at room temperature for 1 h. In the third, control group, the bone defects were left empty and allowed to heal spontaneously. The wounds were closed with absorbable sutures (№04) and disinfected. The post-operative observation was performed daily to check the progress of healing according to a pre-planned schedule during consecutive days. There were no complications or deaths in the post-operative period.

Animals were sacrificed in groups of 8 animals on the 14th, 30th, and 60th days after surgery.

Histological examination

Animals were euthanized with an overdose of intravenous anesthesia. Bone samples were taken on days 14, 30, and 60 after the surgery and processed for histological analysis.

The femur was resected in the area of surgical intervention with adjacent soft tissues. Tissues were fixed for at least 18 h in a 10% formalin solution and then decalcified with 10% formic acid. After macroscopic evaluation, bone fragments were placed in paraffin. After this, the samples were longitudinally sectioned in 5 μm slices from different parts of areas. The sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin for light microscopic observation. Microscopic examinations were done in an optic microscope at 100×. Furthermore, to evaluate bone mineralization, growth, and maturation, additional sections of bone tissue were stained using Masson’s Trichrome.

The morphometric evaluation was carried out on an area of 1 cm² in the place where the surgery was performed (defect formed). Histological evaluation criteria are presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Histological criteria for morphometric assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Acute inflammation</th>
<th>Necrosis, (%)</th>
<th>Neovascularization**</th>
<th>Adipose tissue, (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Polymorphonuclear leukocytes*, (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chronic inflammation</td>
<td>Lymphocytes*, (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Macrophages/histiocytes*, (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reparative/dye regeneration of the intramedullary canal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fibrous tissue, (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cortical plate repair/dye regeneration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fibrous tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cartilage tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bone tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Allograft remodeling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-mineralized</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mineralized</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p Cell infiltrate was assessed per 100 cells by summing the average values of various types of cells in the area of the defect zone. **Assessment of the number of vessels was carried out on the area of a representative tissue section within the defect zone.

Statistical analysis

Statistical processing of research results was analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics 20.0. Values are presented as mean and standard deviations. Comparisons between groups were performed with the Chi-square test. A p < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Results

Tables 2-4 and Figure 1 present the results of a comparative histomorphometric analysis of the reparative regeneration of bone tissue using a perforated bone allograft in an animal experiment.
Histological characteristic | Groups (n) | p-value | a | b | c
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
Inflammation | | | | | |
Acute (%) | | | | | |
Necrosis | | | | | |
Granulocytes | | | | | |
Chronic (%) | | | | | |
Lymphocytes | | | | | |
Macrophages | | | | | |
Reparative/Disregenerative pattern in the intramedullary canal fibrous tissue (%) | | | | | |
Fibrous tissue | | | | | |
Adipose tissue | | | | | |
Neovascularization | | | | | |
Reparative/Disregenerative pattern cortical plate (%) | | | | | |
Fibrous tissue | | | | | |
Cartilage tissue | | | | | |
Bone tissue | | | | | |
Allograft remodeling | | | | | |
Non-mineralized | | | | | |
Mineralized | | | | | |
Lysis | | | | | |

Table 2: Comparative histological analysis of the reparative process in the zone of the defect on the 14th day of the experiment

Histological characteristic | Groups (n) | p-value | a | b | c
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
Inflammation | | | | | |
Acute (%) | | | | | |
Necrosis | | | | | |
Granulocytes | | | | | |
Chronic (%) | | | | | |
Lymphocytes | | | | | |
Macrophages | | | | | |
Reparative/Disregenerative pattern cortical plate (%) | | | | | |
Fibrous tissue | | | | | |
Cartilage tissue | | | | | |
Bone tissue | | | | | |
Allograft remodeling | | | | | |
Non-mineralized | | | | | |
Mineralized | | | | | |
Lysis | | | | | |

Table 3: Comparative histological analysis of the reparative process in the zone of the defect on the 30th day of the experiment

Histological characteristic | Groups (n) | p-value | a | b | c
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
Inflammation | | | | | |
Acute (%) | | | | | |
Necrosis | | | | | |
Granulocytes | | | | | |
Chronic (%) | | | | | |
Lymphocytes | | | | | |
Macrophages | | | | | |
Reparative/Disregenerative pattern cortical plate (%) | | | | | |
Fibrous tissue | | | | | |
Cartilage tissue | | | | | |
Bone tissue | | | | | |
Allograft remodeling | | | | | |
Non-mineralized | | | | | |
Mineralized | | | | | |
Lysis | | | | | |

Table 4: Comparative histological analysis of the reparative process in the zone of the defect on the 60th day of the experiment

Histological characteristic | Groups (n) | p-value | a | b | c
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
Inflammation | | | | | |
Acute (%) | | | | | |
Necrosis | | | | | |
Granulocytes | | | | | |
Chronic (%) | | | | | |
Lymphocytes | | | | | |
Macrophages | | | | | |
Reparative/Disregenerative pattern cortical plate (%) | | | | | |
Fibrous tissue | | | | | |
Cartilage tissue | | | | | |
Bone tissue | | | | | |
Allograft remodeling | | | | | |
Non-mineralized | | | | | |
Mineralized | | | | | |
Lysis | | | | | |

Group 1

The morphological pattern of the reparative process in the cortical bone was characterized by a progressive increase in mature bone tissue with minimal fibrosis. At 14 days, the defect zone is predominantly represented by bone tissue (74.4%) with active longitudinal and transverse growth of bone beams located between the edge of the bone plate and allograft fragments. The closure of the defect occurs mainly due to the growth from the edge of the cortical bone. At 30 and 60 days, there is a total closure of the bone plate in the defect area with the predominance of mature bone tissue with a high degree of mineralization.

The reparative/disreparative pattern of the intramedullary zone of a bone defect was characterized by a gradual dynamic increase in the relative amount of mature adipose tissue of the bone marrow due to allograft resorption by 30 and 60 days.

Histological signs of acute inflammation at all times (14, 30, and 60 days) were not detected.
In all periods, limited intramedullary lymphomacrophagic infiltration was observed in the perifocal zones of the allograft without signs of fibrosis.

**Group 2**

The bone tissue in the defect zone was characterized by reparative processes with an increase in the relative amount of mature bone tissue at 14, 30, and 60 days. On day 14, bone tissue prevailed in the area of the bone plate.

The reparative/disreparative pattern of the intramedullary zone of a bone defect was characterized by increased lysis and resorption of the allograft with a dynamic, progressive decrease in the content of the allograft in the intramedullary space.

Histological signs of acute inflammation at all times (14, 30, and 60 days) were not detected.

**Group 3**

The morphological pattern of the reparative process in the cortical plate was characterized by a prolonged persistence of fibrous tissue. At 14 days, the defect zone is represented mainly by coarse fibrous connective tissue (76.1%) with reactive angiogenesis and perifocal lymphohistiocytic infiltration. The closure of the defect occurs mainly due to the growth from the edge of the cortical bone. At 30 and 60 days, the defect zone is mainly represented by bone tissue with a high degree of mineralization and active longitudinal growth.

The reparative/disreparative pattern of the intramedullary zone of a bone defect was characterized by focal persistence of the coarse fibrous connective tissue at 14 days with subtotal reconstruction and remodeling of the bone marrow canal with a dynamic increase in the relative amount of mature adipose tissue of the bone marrow by 30 and 60 days.

The acute inflammatory pattern was determined on the 14th day of the experiment and was focal in nature: Single polymorphonuclear leukocytes are located mainly on the border of the coarse fibrous connective tissue with reactive angiogenesis. At 30 and 60 days of active acute inflammation were not detected.

A chronic inflammatory pattern was observed in all experimental periods and was characterized by a mild degree of 14 and 30 days with a tendency to a decrease in the relative amount by 60 days.

**Discussion**

In this experimental animal study, a comparative histomorphometric analysis of the pattern of reparative regeneration of bone tissue was carried out with a comparative assessment of the biocompatibility and osteoconductive properties of a bone allograft prepared according to the Marburg Bone Bank System.

An important result of the study is that a perforated allograft showed high biocompatibility. At all stages of the reparative process – in both experimental groups, there were no morphological signs of an active acute inflammatory pattern and a severe chronic inflammatory process. By 30 days in all groups with an allograft, the number of polymorphonuclear leukocytes did not statistically significantly different from the comparison group without filling in a bone defect ($p = 0.000$).

In previously published works, it was shown that the biocompatibility reaction is a dynamic process superimposed on the peculiarities of the reparative-regenerative process, and the type and population of cells at the contact site gradually change during healing [21]. The sequence of local events is usually regarded as a continuum of tissue response, in which each individual event leads to the following: in some cases, trauma passes into acute inflammation, which can turn into chronic inflammation with subsequent formation of granulation tissue [22], [23]. The presence of eosinophils and polymorphonuclear cells is typical for acute inflammatory reactions, and macrophages and fibroblasts – for a chronic form [24]. As a rule, in
acute inflammation at the site of traumatic injury, the peak of neutrophils occurs 1–2 days after the injury and gradually disappears after 7–10 days, followed by the migration of macrophages 1–2 days after the injury [25]. Fibroblasts and macrophages quantitatively increase one to 2 weeks after surgery and decrease after 6 weeks [23], [24]. One of the informative criteria for biocompatibility disturbance is increased amounts and prolonged persistence of macrophages at the interface with tissue [26], [27], [28], [29].

In this study, a small number of macrophages were found which were not statistically significantly different from each other (2 and 3 groups) on 60 days after surgery (p = 0.000). This data indicate a high level of biocompatibility.

Further, we found that in the group with an allograft without antibiotic, along (at 30 and 60 days) locoregional persistence of lymphocytic infiltrate (Score 1 and Score 2) was observed at the border of the allograft with remodeled bone tissue with an increase in the number of lymphocytes, which was statistically significantly different from the group with perforated allograft with an antibiotic (p = 0.000). It was previously shown that the biocompatibility of materials depends on biological events occurring at the interface between the biomaterial and the surrounding tissue [29]. We believe that the persistence of mild immune cells (Score 1) in the group with a perforated allograft in comparison with an allograft soaked in antibiotic does not reflect the true chronic inflammatory process but is a reactive immunological reaction associated with bone marrow canal remodeling and allograft lysis since in sections of histological sections with a completely remodeled allograft, there is no immune environment at the interface between the allograft and body tissues (Figure 1e).

Histomorphometric analysis showed that the use of a perforated antibiotic-impregnated bone allograft leads to increased lysis and resorption of the allograft in the intramedullary space. In 2 groups, the average amount of resorbed allograft in the intramedullary space at day 60 of the experiment was statistically significantly less than in the 3 groups (p = 0.05). This difference may be due to the fact that treatment of the allograft with an antibiotic helps to eliminate and level additional stress-induced factors during the repair process that affect the staging of the regeneration process, but this assumption requires further study.

It was further established that a perforated bone allograft has good osteoconductive and osteoinductive properties. In groups using an allograft for 30 days, more than 50% of the bone plate area is represented by newly formed mature bone tissue, in contrast to the control group. The results obtained are consistent with the data of other researchers [30], [31], [32]. The reason for the improved osteoconductive and osteoinductive properties of a perforated allograft may be an increase in the reference surface area, as well as the possibility of using a mechanical framework for the ingrowth of cellular and vascular components with the formation of a new bone.

There were no differences in the volume of newly formed bone tissue in the area of the formed defect between the two experimental groups using an allograft at 30 and 60 days (p = 0.878 and p = 0.959, respectively). We did not identify any statistical differences (p = 0.161 and p = 0.083) with respect to restoration of the bone plate with mature bone tissue between groups with allograft, both with and without antibiotics.

On the basis of a dynamically positive reparative process, the absence of signs of an allergic reaction, the spread of tissue necrosis in groups with allograft, no negative toxic effect of the antibiotic on the repair process, and surrounding bone tissue and bone marrow tissue were revealed. However, this assumption is speculative in nature and requires additional research in the future.

Thus, we showed that both biomaterials used in this study as grafts for filling of bone defect formed have good biocompatibility and osteoconductive properties without an osteotoxic effect with or without an antibiotic. At the same time, it was established that a bone allograft not impregnated with an antibiotic is less actively absorbed, but the severity of mineralization did not depend on the use of the antibiotic. It was revealed that when using an allograft, a locoregional light prolonged reactive persistence of immune cells of a chronic inflammatory pattern is observed. This chronic pattern is not a criterion of inflammation but reflects the "immune environment" of peri allograft zones and is associated with the normal process of intramedullary remodeling and recovery. This immune environment can mimic the histological sign of chronic active inflammation, which can lead to misinterpretation of the stage-specific repair process. Both biomaterials with and without antibiotics did not affect the normal processes of bone repair and the formation of mature bone tissue at the site of the defect formed in the long term.

Conclusion

We believe that a perforated allograft from the perspective of clinical trials may be a worthy and affordable biological material in the bone defects plastic.
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