Deep Brain Stimulation Hardware Infections: A Case Series
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Abstract

BACKGROUND: The device-related infections and erosion of the surgical wound site are special circumstances among complications of deep brain stimulation (DBS).

AIM: We aimed to discuss different aspects of hardware infections and contribute to the literature by presenting our treatment methods on four patients.

MATERIAL AND METHODS: This study was carried out in the Department of Neurosurgery, School of Medicine Dokuz Eylül University. Four DBS cases were included in the study, and a retrospective study was performed.

RESULTS: Electrode placement to bilateral subthalamic nuclei was performed due to Parkinson’s Disease to all four patients. One of the patients was undergone surgical wound site revision 6 times and device removal at last due to device-related infection and erosion of the surgical wound site. The second patient was undergone surgical wound site revision for 2 times and device removal at last due to device-related infection and erosion of the surgical wound site. The third patient had a collection subcutaneously, where the pulse generator was placed. The collection was aspirated. The fourth patient was undergone surgical wound site revision and device removal at last due to device-related infection and erosion of the surgical wound site. All four patients were given IV antibiotics.

CONCLUSION: For the treatment of DBS device-related infections, a long-term IV antibioticotherapy is a suitable option before the decision of device removal.

Objective

Deep brain stimulation (DBS), which replaces thalamotomy, is a safe treatment method used for the treatment of Parkinson’s disease, tremor, dystonia, and some psychiatric disorders. As in almost every implantation surgery, DBS also has some specific complications [1], [2].

The most common complications of DBS surgery are hardware complications. Among these hardware infections and surgery area, erosions have special conditions [3], [4]. Some authors evaluate wound erosions as a complication independent from infection, while some others associate it with infection [5], [6], [7]. Due to this difference, infection rates have been reported between 0% and 23% in the DBS series [7], [8], [9], [10], [11].

In the treatment of hardware infections, system removal is being considered in cases not responding to antibiotic therapy [12], [13]. Temel et al. reported that antibiotic therapy achieves up to 50% success in hardware infections, and system removal can control infection more effectively [14]. It becomes more challenging to reach a solution with medical treatment in the presence of persistent wound erosion associated with hardware infection, and sometimes, there is a need for wound revision. And finally, the process may end with system removal. However, this is an important problem, especially for patients who have significant benefits from DBS implantation, and the removal of the system can lead to depressive disorders [4].

In this study, we would like to discuss different aspects of hardware infections and contribute to the literature by presenting our treatment methods on four patients.

Materials and Methods

This study was carried out in Dokuz Eylül University Medical Faculty Hospital Neurosurgery Clinic. Four DBS cases were included in the study and a retrospective study was performed.

Bilateral subthalamic nucleus (STN-DBS) was performed in four patients due to Parkinson’s Disease. The electrodes were supplied from left postauricular area and placed on neurostimulator subclavicular area. The lead was removed from the left postauricular region. The neurostimulator was implanted in the subclavicular area. The same medical device brand was used for all cases, and there were no pre-operative complications.

Patients had not hypertension or any other comorbidities such as cancer. Only one patient had diabetes mellitus (Table 1).
Results

Case – 1

A 53-year-old female patient with Parkinson’s Disease was implanted DBS to bilateral STN. She was suffering from diabetes mellitus and was using oral antidiabetic. In the post-operative follow-up, it was observed that the patient had a significant benefit and that she started performing her old habit of knitting. In the post-operative 3rd month, there was surgical site erosion on the left frontal incision, and the lead was protruded from skin (Figure 1). Hence, IV antibiotherapy and surgical site revision were performed to the patient, and the lead was transported to the subcutaneous tissue. In the post-operative 13th month (Figure 2), surgical site erosion was observed on the left postauricular incision, and the lead was protruded from skin, and there was liquid discharge on the right frontal side. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* growth was determined in the sample which taken from wound discharge. Removing of DBS system was offered to the patient, but she did not accept the offer due to high benefits she got from the device, and her condition was good. On this, she received IV antibiotherapy, and then, surgical site revision was performed, and the lead was transported to the subcutaneous tissue again. In the post-operative 14th month, the patient was followed up with IV antibiotics on the development of purulent discharge on the postauricular region. In the post-operative 16th month, wound site revision was performed by taking the leads from postauricular region to the suboccipital fascia. In the post-operative 18th month, surgical site erosion was observed on the left postauricular incision, and the lead was protruded from the skin. For that reason, wound site revision was performed. In the post-operative 20th month, neurostimulator on the left supraclavicular region was removed due to wound site erosion. Left supraclavicular region wound was debrided, the leads were transported right postauricular region, and neurostimulator was placed to the right supraclavicular region. Due to the right supraclavicular region erosion and protrusion of neurostimulator, DBS system was removed. During the management of the process, it was cooperated with the Plastic Surgery and Infectious Diseases departments.

Table 1: Features of cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Features</th>
<th>Case – 1</th>
<th>Case – 2</th>
<th>Case – 3</th>
<th>Case – 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>PH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td>Bilateral STN</td>
<td>Bilateral STN</td>
<td>Bilateral STN</td>
<td>Bilateral STN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beginning of infection</td>
<td>3rd month</td>
<td>4th month</td>
<td>1st month</td>
<td>3rd month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of wound site revision</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathogen</td>
<td>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Coagulase negative Staphylococcus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapy</td>
<td>Surgery + antibiotherapy</td>
<td>Extension lead</td>
<td>Surgery+antibiotherapy</td>
<td>Extension lead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibiotics</td>
<td>Cefepime + Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>Ampicillin and Sulbactam</td>
<td>Ampicillin and Sulbactam</td>
<td>Ampicillin and Sulbactam + Ciprofloxacin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explanation of system</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

During this process, clinical findings of widespread or central nervous system infection were never observed. Laboratory values were within normal values, and there was no encephalitis sign in radiological studies. The patient did not allow removal of DBS system until the 22\textsuperscript{nd} month of the operation despite all surgical site revisions and long-term hospitalizations for IV antibiotherapy.

**Case – 2**

A 62-year-old female patient with Parkinson’s disease was implanted DBS to bilateral STN. In the post-operative 4\textsuperscript{th} month, there was surgical site erosion on the left postauricular incision, and the lead was protruded from the skin. Hence, IV antibiotherapy and surgical site revision were performed on the patient, and the lead was transported to the subcutaneous tissue. No agent was determined in the sample which was taken from the wound. Clinical findings and laboratory values of widespread or central nervous system infection were never observed. The patient who completed the 1\textsuperscript{st} year of her operation is followed up as an outpatient without any problem.

**Case – 3**

A 64-year-old male patient with Parkinson’s disease was implanted DBS to bilateral STN. In the post-operative 1\textsuperscript{st} month, swelling and erythema occurred in the subclavicular region. The swelling was drained (50cc) with a puncture using USG guidance. Microbiologic and empirical antibiotherapy were started. No microbiological agent was determined in the sample. The patient who had not repeated swelling after the treatment has been followed as outpatient.

**Case – 4**

A 61-year-old male patient with Parkinson’s disease was implanted DBS to bilateral STN. In the post-operative 3\textsuperscript{rd} month, there was surgical site erosion on the left postauricular incision, and the lead was protruded from the skin (Figure 3). Coagulase-negative staphylococcus was detected in the patient’s wound culture, and IV antibiotherapy was started. The patient, whose wound erosion was treated, is followed up as outpatient without any problem.

**Discussion**

As the number of the centers applying DBS implantation increases, the research papers concerning device-related infections start to take part in the literature. Tolleson et al. have taken into account the presence of epidermal erosions and infections regarding post-operative first 30 days and have found that previous presence of scalp erosion, the longer duration of the operation, and the presence of more people in the operation room is among the risk factors of a delayed infection [10]. In another study, the young age is described as a risk factor for the development of infection [15]. Immunity suppressing illnesses are potential risk factors for device-related infections [16]. In studies, no relation was found between the development of the infection and demographical data of the patients and indications of DBS implantation [4], [12], [17].

Kim et al. determined infection ratio as 7% in series that included 169 cases with Parkinson’s disease and implanted DBS. 75% of infections occurred during the first 3 months after operation. According to study results, as surgical profilaxy 1\textsuperscript{st} generation cephalosporins were offered in 6 days after the operation due to their Gram-positive effect [18]. In our study, 75% of the infections occurred in the first 3 months after DBS, and ampicillin/sulbactam profilaxy was applied during the first 10 days.

In our study, Pseudomonas was isolated in one female patient, and no cause was identified in other two. In a study with wider population, the most common isolated cause among men was Coagulase-negative staphylococcus, and among women were Methicillin-Susceptible \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} and \textit{P. aeruginosa} [19]. The data did suggest that intrawound VP may help to reduce the SSI risk after...
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DBS implantation [3]. However, in this study, Gram-positive bacteria were the most common pathogens (75%). Infection after DBS surgery was associated with short-term use of prophylactic antibiotics therapy. The antibiotic therapy is given according to the isolated organism; and in our cases, 6–8 weeks of antibiotic therapy was given. Removal of the DBS system also appears to be another treatment option with antibiotic therapy. Patients benefited from the implantation do not consent for the system removal. Removal of the implantation and reimplantation at a future date for a complication which could be resolved through recent medical treatment options creates a huge impact on cost.

In three of our four cases, we found positive results with wound site revision and antibiotic therapy. In our study, a resistant surgical site infection was developed in one patient. Although she received a long-term antibiotic treatment and had six operations for surgical wound revision, she did not consent for device removal given that she significantly benefited from DBS. Increased incidence of wound complications in surgical patients with diabetes mellitus is a well-known condition. It can be thought that diabetes disease contributes to the negativities in this patient. Despite all studies, it was not clearly defined why some patients experience DBS-related infections and erosions on surgical site, while some others do not. In our opinion, the quality and thickness of scalp take a role in the development of surgical site erosion and device-related infections. In patients with poor scalp quality and thickness placing the microcatheter beneath, the galea and fascia could be considered. All patients were shaved before surgery. We believe that this application is effective in preventing infection as well as the use of antibiotics prophylaxis (ampicillin and sulbactam) before and after the surgery.

**Conclusion**

We consider that antibiotic therapy can be considered as an option in such situations before the removal of DBS system. In cases which are unresponsive to wound revision and have long-term antimicrobial therapy and/or developed central nervous system infection, we consider that removal of DBS system may be a right choice. New multicentral studies are needed for therapeutic protocols, improving new perspectives of infections of DBS implantations, and surgical site erosions.
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