Effect of Location of Genioplasty Osteotomy Line on Hard- and Soft-Tissue Contour of the Chin and Related Complications
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Introduction

Facial esthetics depends on the form, proportionality, and position of different components of the face. The chin is the most important part of the face in terms of esthetics in both the frontal and profile views [1], [2]. However, chin deformity may occur due to trauma, congenital anomalies, or developmental defects [3]. Surgical correction of chin deformity was first attempted in the early 20th century. Hofer was the first to introduce sliding osseous genioplasty in 1942 [4]. He used the extraoral submental approach for this purpose. A few years later, some others performed intraoral horizontal osteotomy of the chin, and this technique replaced the extraoral approach [5], [6], [7], [8]. Osseous genioplasty is a commonly practiced cosmetic surgery with profound effects on facial harmony and esthetics, especially in the lower third of the face [9]. Many authors have discussed that osseous genioplasty can be applied for correction of most cases of chin deformity, while prosthetic genioplasty is often used to correct mild retrognathia. On the other hand, osseous genioplasty can fix the chin deformity in all three spatial dimensions. It enables lengthening and shortening of the chin, forward and backward movement of the chin, its lateral movement, and its rotation. Despite the numerous advantages of osseous genioplasty, correction of the contour and irregularities of the inferior border of mandible with prosthetic genioplasty would be easier. In brief, it may be stated that osseous genioplasty is recommended for most patients, especially those with severe or complex deformities requiring several surgical steps. In contrast, correction of the chin with prosthetic genioplasty is mainly limited to mild or moderate retrognathia and correction of the shape and contour of the chin [1], [8], [10], [11], [12], [13]. Despite the fact that osseous genioplasty is a flexible and easy approach with extensive indications, it can have complications such as the creation of irregularity in the inferior border of the mandible, which can result in the unesthetic appearance of the soft tissue of the chin. The risk of this complication is higher in osseous genioplasty compared to prosthetic genioplasty and in severe cases; it can compromise the esthetics of the soft tissue of the chin [1]. Several surgical
techniques have been proposed for osseous genioplasty, each having advantages and disadvantages; of all, two approaches with osteotomy lines at the premolar and molar sites are more popular than other techniques [3].

However, studies on the incidence of irregularities in the inferior border of mandible following osseous genioplasty are limited, and the effects of location of an osteotomy line in genioplasty on the soft- and hard-tissue contour of the chin and related complications have not been evaluated. Therefore, this study aimed to assess the effect of osteotomy line at the molar and premolar sites on the rate of complications and esthetic outcome of surgery in terms of irregularity in the inferior border of the mandible to find the best approach for achieving the best esthetic results.

Materials and Methods

This retrospective cohort study was performed on patients who underwent osseous genioplasty in Kermanshah hospitals from 2016 to 2017. The study was approved by the ethics committee of Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences (IR.KUMS. REC.1396.397). Of all, only patients who underwent advancement osseous genioplasty were evaluated. A minimum of 6 months had to be passed since their surgical operation for adequate bone healing [7], [14]. Patients were selected using convenience sampling.

Patients who had undergone osseous genioplasty were recalled for periodic examinations. According to the information available inpatient files and panoramic radiographs and lateral cephalograms of patients, they were categorized into two groups of (A) genioplasty with osteotomy line at the premolar site and (B) genioplasty with osteotomy line at the molar site. For genioplasty, an incision was made in the inferior labial vestibular mucosa of the mandible. The osteotomy cut was extended from the symphyseal midline to the inferior border of mandible below the premolar site and mental nerve in Group A and to the molar site in Group B. The cut was made by a surgical saw while maintaining a 5 mm distance from the apex of roots of mandibular incisors and mental nerve. The separated distal segment of chin bone (which was still attached to genioglossus and geniohyoid muscles) was fixed in a more anterior position as required. Eventually, the inferior border of mandible was palpated to ensure a smooth border. Two cuts were made in the mandible of each patient, and the esthetic results of each cut were evaluated.

Inclusion criteria

The following criteria were included in the study:
- Absence of congenital deformities or disorders
- Absence of skeletal and metabolic diseases
- Absence of pathologic lesions
- At least 6 months had to be passed since their surgical operation for adequate bone healing [7], [14].

Radiographic assessments to find irregularity of the inferior border of the mandible were performed in two groups using panoramic radiography and lateral cephalometry. Radiographs were inspected on a negatoscope and the continuity of the inferior border of the mandible from the gonial notch to gonion was evaluated. Patients in whom this continuity was disrupted by a step or notch were categorized as having the irregular border of mandible radiographically; otherwise, they were categorized as normal (Figure 1).

The presence of a step or notch in the inferior border of mandible was also evaluated by a surgeon through clinical palpation of the osteotomy site. In the case of finding a depression in the hard tissue contour, it was considered as the presence of irregularity in the inferior border of the mandible. The presence of a smooth contour was considered normal. These findings were also compared with pre-operative photographs.

Patients were also asked about their satisfaction with the treatment results and continuity and smoothness of the inferior border of the mandible. They were requested to palpate the inferior border of their mandible and report if they felt any irregularity on palpation or noticed it after accurate inspection. In the case of reporting it, it was considered an abnormal finding. If the irregularity was present, but the patient did not notice it, or in case of its absence, the case was considered to be normal.

Other possible complications such as paresthesia of the chin and all types of tooth injures such as root fracture (cut by bur or saw), tooth necrosis, and tooth mobility were also evaluated in this study.

Normal distribution of data was evaluated using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. Since data were found to be normally distributed (p > 0.1), independent samples t-test was used to compare quantitative variables between the two groups. Chi-square test, Monte Carlo Chi-square test, and Fisher’s exact test were used to assess the correlation of qualitative variables with study groups. The collected data were analyzed using SPSS version 18 (SPSS Inc., IL, USA). The level of significance was set at 0.05.

Results

There were 3 males (15%) and 17 females (85%) in the study. The mean age of patients was 24.05 ± 3.25 years. There were 8 (80%) females and 2 (20%) males in Group A and 9 females (90%) and
Table 2 shows the frequency of patients in terms of surgical procedures received. No significant correlation was found between the type of surgical procedure and association with other procedures (Monte Carlo Chi-square test, p = 0.81). Root fracture did not occur in any case.

Table 1: Age, follow-up time, and magnitude of chin advancement in the two groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Osteotomy line in premolar region</th>
<th>Osteotomy line in molar region</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mean 22.80</td>
<td>Mean 25.35</td>
<td>0.885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Standard deviation 3.55</td>
<td>Standard deviation 2.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up term</td>
<td>Mean 8.10</td>
<td>Mean 7.60</td>
<td>0.649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(months)</td>
<td>Standard deviation 2.42</td>
<td>Standard deviation 2.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advancement</td>
<td>Mean 4.80</td>
<td>Mean 5.10</td>
<td>0.538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>amount (mm)</td>
<td>Standard deviation 1.14</td>
<td>Standard deviation 0.99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Frequency of patients in terms of the type of surgical procedures received

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other procedures</th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Genioplasty alone</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With mandibular osteotomy</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With maxillary osteotomy</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With maxillary and mandibular</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>osteotomy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhinoplasty and/or other plastic</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>surgeries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Of all, 70% of patients in Group A and 40% of patients in Group B had irregularity of the inferior border of mandible radiographically. No significant association was noted between the type of surgical approach and irregularity of the inferior border of the mandible on radiographs (Fisher’s exact test, p = 0.37).

Of all, 70% of patients in Group A and 60% of patients in Group B had irregularity of the inferior border of the mandible on clinical examination. No significant association was noted between the type of surgical approach and irregularity in the inferior border of the mandible on clinical examination (Fisher’s exact test, p = 1.00).

Of all, 80% in Group A and 20% in Group B noticed a step in the appearance of the inferior border of the chin, and a significant association existed between the type of surgical approach and noticing a step in the appearance of the inferior border of the chin (Chi-square, p = 0.01).

Furthermore, 30% in Group A and 10% in Group B felt a step in the inferior border of their mandible on palpation. The type of surgical approach had no significant association with the patient reporting a step in the inferior border of the mandible on palpation (Fisher’s exact test, p = 0.58).

Of all, 40% in Group A and 10% in Group B noticed a step in the appearance of the inferior border of their chin. The association between the type of surgical approach and noticing a step in the appearance of the inferior border of the chin was not significant (Fisher’s exact test, p = 0.30).

Figure 1: Genioplasty. (a) A patient in Group A for whom, genioplasty was performed with osteotomy at the site of premolar tooth and did not have irregularity of the inferior border of mandible. (b) A patient in Group A for whom, genioplasty was performed with osteotomy at the site of premolar tooth and developed irregularity of the inferior border of the mandible. (c) A patient in Group B for whom, genioplasty was performed with an osteotomy at the site of molar tooth and did not have irregularity of the inferior border of mandible. (d) A patient in Group B for whom, genioplasty was performed with an osteotomy at the site of molar tooth and developed irregularity of the inferior border of the mandible.
In Groups A and B, 10% and 20% of patients, respectively, had paresthesia of the chin. The association between the type of surgical approach and paresthesia of the chin was not significant (Fisher’s exact test, p = 1.00).

Figure 2 compares the frequency of different complications between the two groups.

![Figure 2: Comparison of frequency of different complications between the two groups. The asterisk presents a significant difference (p < 0.05) between two groups](image)

**Discussion**

Osseous genioplasty is a commonly practiced surgical procedure to correct the form and position of the chin and improves facial symmetry and esthetics. This approach can be performed alone or combined with other facial cosmetic surgeries such as orthognathic surgery and rhinoplasty. In this study, 50% of the genioplasty procedures were associated with other surgical procedures in the face.

Genioplasty has many advantages over alloplastic implants of the chin. It enables the greater movement of the chin, has no risk of foreign body reaction or prosthesis infection, has the ability to correct the position of the chin in the vertical dimension (lengthening or shortening of the chin), and enables correction of chin asymmetry. Furthermore, chin implants may cause resorption of the underlying bone, have the risk of dislocation, and are associated with lower patient satisfaction [7], [15], [16]. If performed during adolescence, genioplasty affects the upper airway at the level of the oropharynx and can improve sleep breathing disorders [17].

Genioplasty has several complications; paresthesia of the lower lip and chin is among the most common complications of genioplasty. Lindquist and Obeid [9] reported the prevalence of this complication to be 28.5%. Gui et al. [1] reported different degrees of lower lip paresthesia in the majority of patients. In our study, 20% of patients reported chin paresthesia. Gap formation between the osteotomized bone segments is another complication of osseous genioplasty, which is more prominent in cases of chin lengthening, chin advancement, and correction of chin asymmetry. This gap between the two bone segments can result in impaired bone healing and eventual formation of a palpable or observable step in the inferior border of the mandible and irregularity of chin contour.

Lindquist and Obeid [9] reported that 72.5% of patients had a notch in the inferior border of the mandible on radiographs. Kim and Steinbacher [14] reported that in two patients, the irregularity of the inferior border of the mandible was so severe that it necessitated reoperation. They reported the presence of a step on radiographs in 55% of patients and on clinical examination in 20%. To overcome this problem, one method is to use heterogeneous materials such as autogenous bone or cartilage, allograft or xenograft bone, poly-ethylene materials, or hydroxyapatite to fill the gap in bone [18], [19], [20], [21], [22], [23], [24], [25]. Deshpande et al. [26] stated that to prevent irregularity in the inferior border of the mandible, after making the osteotomy cut in the chin and fixation of segments, the inferior border of the mandible should be palpated to find the step, if present, and smoothen it with bur [26].

Since the introduction of osseous genioplasty, many techniques and approaches have been suggested to decrease complications and increase the efficacy of this procedure. In the conventional genioplasty technique, the osteotomy cut starts at the mandibular symphysisal midline bilaterally and is terminated with a mild slope at the inferior border of the mandible beneath the first or second premolar. In this approach, it is important to maintain a few millimeters space from the roots of incisor teeth and canines, as well as the mental nerve. Logically, the milder the slope of the osteotomy cut (the closer the angle), the smaller the magnitude of step in the inferior border would be. However, this factor is limited by the distance from the tooth root and mental nerve to the inferior border of the mandible.

This study assessed the effect of osteotomy cut endpoint at the two sides of molar teeth (a cut with a milder slope) and premolars on step formation in the inferior border of the mandible. The presence/absence of step was evaluated clinically and radiographically, and the patient’s attention to its presence was also evaluated. In other words, this complication was evaluated both subjectively and objectively, and its clinical effects on patients were also evaluated. The results showed that the incidence of irregularity and step in the inferior border of the mandible after genioplasty was lower in both radiographic and clinical palpation by the surgeon when the osteotomy line was at the molar site; however, this difference was not significant. In clinical inspection by the surgeon, the incidence of step in the inferior border of the mandible was significantly lower in the group with osteotomy line at the molar site.

In terms of patient satisfaction with the treatment outcome and occurrence of this complication, a higher satisfaction rate and lower incidence of irregularity in the contour of the chin and the mandible...
were reported in the group with osteotomy line at the molar site; although this difference was not statistically significant. The incidence of chin paresthesia was higher in the group with the osteotomy line at the molar site, but this difference was not significant either.

Regarding the sensitivity of the methods employed to detect irregularity of the inferior border of the mandible, palpation by the surgeon revealed the highest incidence of this complication and had the highest accuracy and sensitivity for this purpose. Radiographic examination ranked second and had acceptable accuracy for this purpose as well.

Regarding the satisfaction of patients with the outcome of genioplasty and the ability to detect irregularity of the inferior border of the mandible, although the frequency of patient complaints about this complication was lower, this difference did not reach statistical significance. However, it was clinically important. However, it should be noted that the level of attention of patients may vary, and patients generally pay less attention to details compared to surgeons. Another important factor that can affect the clinical effects of genioplasty is its concomitance with other cosmetic procedures (50% of the cases in our study). In such cases, the esthetic outcome of several procedures performed concomitantly can be so drastic that impair the patient’s attention to details, and irregularity of the inferior border of the mandible may only be detected if it is severe or by detail-oriented patients. Overall, the level of satisfaction of patients with the results of genioplasty was high (75%), in our study, and was close to the value reported by Hoenig (90%) [27].

Another important issue is the effect of this step on the soft tissue of the chin and border of the mandible. Irregularity of the inferior border of the mandible is not apparently seen in all patients whose radiographic or palpation examinations indicate the presence of a step or irregularity. Several factors play a role in this respect, such as thickness and quality of the skin and soft tissue of the chin and type of movement of bone segments. The thicker the skin and the weaker its adhesion to the underlying tissue, the less the show of step and the underlying bone irregularity would be.

The movement of bone segments such as advancement, lengthening, and clockwise rotation of the chin would cause soft-tissue tension and consequently, the details of the underlying bone are further revealed. In the setback of the jaw and shortening and counterclockwise rotation of the chin, the soft-tissue tension is decreased and consequently, the details of the underlying bone are less revealed. The same movements with similar effects may affect the soft tissue of the chin following the movement of the mandible or maxillomandibular complex in concomitant orthosurgery and genioplasty [28], [29], [30], [31]. Future studies with larger sample sizes and longer follow-up periods are required to assess the effect of the location of osteotomy cut in the mandible on the esthetic results.

Conclusions

In general, irregularity in the inferior border of the mandible following conventional genioplasty is a common finding on radiographic and clinical examinations. The results of this study revealed that genioplasty with osteotomy line at the molar site had a significantly lower prevalence of step formation compared to genioplasty with osteotomy line at the premolar site on clinical inspection of the soft tissue of the chin. Furthermore, this approach was associated with a higher satisfaction rate of patients with the treatment outcome.

Acknowledgments

This work was performed in partial fulfillment of the requirements for a doctorate degree in General Dentistry (Osama Heidari), in Faculty of Dentistry, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran.

References

PMid:18158607

PMid:18817718

PMid:26847515


PMid:17046627

PMid:20133396

PMid:10883694

8. Trauner R, Obwegeser H. The surgical correction of mandibular
Dehghani et al. Genioplasty Osteotomy Line and Tissue Contour of the Chain


PMid:18126973

PMid:9246516

PMid:1598895

PMid:2840684

PMid:3165217

PMid:3166043

PMid:18634943

PMid:3217297

PMid:21813876

PMid:16849066

PMid:16122647

PMid:26520018

PMid:16122647