Association between Estrogen Receptor Alpha Gene Polymorphisms and Susceptibility to Idiopathic Scoliosis in Bulgarian Patients: A Case-Control Study
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Abstract

BACKGROUND: The current consensus on idiopathic scoliosis maintains that it has a multifactorial etiology with genetic predisposing factors.

AIM: Estrogen receptor alpha gene has been considered as candidate gene of idiopathic scoliosis.

MATERIAL AND METHODS: We conducted a case-control control study of Bulgarian population samples (eighty patients with idiopathic scoliosis and one hundred-sixty healthy unrelated gender-matched controls) trying to investigate the association between common genetic polymorphisms of estrogen receptor alpha and the susceptibility to idiopathic scoliosis. Molecular detection of the restriction polymorphisms XbaI and Pvull was performed by polymerase chain reaction following by restriction fragment length polymorphism. The statistical analysis was performed by Pearson's chi-squared test.

RESULTS: Our case-control study showed statistically significant association between the Pvull polymorphism and susceptibility to idiopathic scoliosis and curve progression. No genotype or allele of XbaI polymorphism was found to be correlated with the onset or severity of the disease.

CONCLUSIONS: The identification of molecular markers with diagnostic and prognostic value could be useful for early detection of children at risk for the development of scoliosis and for prognosis of the risk for a rapid deformity progression. That would facilitate the therapy decisions and early stage treatment of the patient with the least invasive procedures.

Introduction

Since its first documentation by Hippocrates, the diagnosis, cause and treatment of idiopathic scoliosis (IS) have been the focus of a great deal of research and yet the etiology remains enigmatic [1]. The current consensus on IS maintains that it has a multifactorial etiology with genetic predisposing factors. The study on genetics of IS having indicated substantial genetic heterogeneity in the etiology of the disease.

In Europe, during the period from 2002 to 2014 common polymorphisms in 13 candidate-genes for IS [2-10] have been investigated. Two studies on gene expression have been performed and several genes with change expression in patients with IS have been reported [11, 12].

The estrogen receptor alpha gene (ESR1) has been considered as candidate gene of IS [7, 13]. ESR1 gene was shown to be expressed in both human osteoblasts and osteoclasts [14] and mutations of the ESR1 gene were shown to cause bone loss and delayed skeletal growth in affected humans [15]. The specific XbaI and Pvull polymorphisms of the ESR1 gene were associated with low bone mineral density (bMD) at all bMD measurement sites in a Bulgarian female population sample [16].

Associations between IS and common single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in ESR1 have been reported. A study conducted by Inoue et al. in 2002 was the first one which investigated the role of the ESR1 in the pathogenesis of IS and concluded that
the ESR1 Xbal polymorphism constitutes important factor for the curve progression in Japanese population [13]. In 2006 the Xbal site polymorphism of ESR1 gene was associated with a risk of IS in Chinese population [17]. A replication study conducted by Tang et al. revealed no association between the two ESR1 SNPs and the occurrence of IS or curve severity in Chinese population [18]. A Chinese study conducted by Zhao et al. in 2009 indicated there are statistically significant differences between patients with double curve, with Cobb angle ≥ 40° and with thoracic curve and healthy controls in the polymorphic distribution of the Pvull polymorphism of the ESR1 [19].

In 2011 a replication study conducted by Takahashi et al. found no association of Xbal and Pvull polymorphisms with IS predisposition or curve severity in the Japanese population [20]. No association was found between the ESR1 restriction polymorphisms and IS in Poland females [7, 8].

In the present study the association of the Xbal and Pvull common polymorphisms of ESR1 with susceptibility to IS was investigated in Bulgarian patients.

Material and Methods

In this study, patients with IS (n = 80) and healthy unrelated gender-matched controls (n = 160) were included. All participants in the study were informed about its purpose and were included only after the subjects/families signed their informed consent. Peripheral blood samples were obtained from patients and control subjects. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Medical University-Sofia (No 2987/2012).

Patients

From 2012-2014, patients with IS were recruited with the help of orthopaedic surgeons from Tokuda Hospital Sofia and University Orthopedic Hospital “Prof. B. Boychev”. The IS diagnosis was confirmed clinically and radiologically. The curves were measured by the Cobb method. The Cobb angle under consideration was either the final Cobb angle, defined as the curve angle in skeletally mature patients (n = 35) or Cobb angle, measured in the last follow up of those patients who were skeletally immature (n = 45). The average Cobb angle was 52.7° (range of 16° to 100°). The average age at the beginning of the disease was 11.2 years (range of 2 to 15 years). In this study, male (n = 15) and female (n = 65) patients were included.

IS was observed in three age groups: infantile - from one to three years of age (n = 3), juvenile - from greater than three years of age through nine years of age (n = 16) and adolescent from ten to sixteen years of age (n = 61). Scoliosis as a phenotypic characteristic like Marfan’s syndrome was excluded.

Controls

The control group including healthy subjects without clinical signs of IS was recruited from a pool of unrelated gender-matched volunteers from other Units and Clinics of Tokuda Hospital Sofia, National Genetic Laboratory, hospital staff members and students. The controls were selected among adult patients with skeletal maturity with negative family history of IS. Radiological examination was not performed in the control group.

Genotyping

Genomic DNA was extracted from the peripheral blood leucocytes using magnetic bead technology (chemagic DNA Blood Kit special, Chemagen) on automated high throughput nucleic acid isolation platform (chemagic Magnetic Separation Module I, Chemagen).

The polymorphic region of the ESR1 was amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) with the following primer set: for-5'-CTGCCACCCCTATCTATTTTCTCTTCC-3' and rev-5'-TCTTTCTGACCCTGCGTATTACCTGA-3' [7, 13].

The PCR was carried out in a reaction mix of 20µl containing 100-ng DNA and 10X Prime Taq buffer (Genet Bio), 10 mM dNTPs Mixture (Genet Bio), 20 pmol Forward and Reverse primers (AlphaDNA), and 0.1 U Prime Taq DNA Polymerase (Genet Bio). PCR amplifications were performed in an AB 2720 Thermocycler (Life Technologies) with an initial denaturation at 94°C for ten minutes and a final extension of ten minutes at 72°C. The following thermal cycle was repeated 30 times: denaturation at 94°C for 60 seconds, annealing for 60 seconds at 59°C, and extension at 72°C for 120 seconds.

The PCR products were cleaved with the appropriate restriction enzymes (New England Biolabs), according to the manufacturer’s instructions, and the digested products were separated on agarose 3% gel in VG-SYS Horizontal Electrophoresis System (Biochrom). The restriction enzymes and the lengths of the fragments representing the genotypes of the genes are presented in Table 1.

Statistical analysis

The ESR1 genotypes were compared using χ² test with a P value of 0.05 as statistically significant. All calculations were performed with the
statistical program SPSS 19.0 software package for Windows.

Table 1: RFLP protocol

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene, Polymorphism</th>
<th>PCR Product Size, bp</th>
<th>Restriction Enzyme</th>
<th>Restriction Fragments, bp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ESR1 rs9340799</td>
<td>1374</td>
<td>XbaI</td>
<td>XX: 1374, Xx: 862+392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESR1 rs2234693</td>
<td>1374</td>
<td>PvuII</td>
<td>PP: 1374, Pp: 1374+437+437, pp: 937+437</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results

This study examined the association between IS and two SNPs: the XbaI (rs9340799) and the PvuII (rs2234693) restriction polymorphisms of ESR1. Genotypes were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium.

The overall frequency of the PP genotype of the ESR1 PvuII polymorphism in the patients with IS was two times higher than in the controls (32.5% vs. 16.9%) and the frequency of the P allele of the ESR1 PvuII polymorphism in the patients with IS was also higher than in the controls (57.5% vs. 47.8%). In conclusion, the homozygous PP genotype of ESR1 was associated with a higher risk of scoliosis (PP vs. Pp+pp, p=0.006; OR: 2.37; 95% CI: 1.27 – 4.43) and the presence of the P allele alone (P vs. p, p = 0.045; OR: 1.48; CI: 1.01 - 2.17) could be considered as susceptibility factor to IS.

The overall frequency of the XX genotype of the ESR1 XbaI polymorphism in the patients with IS was higher than in the controls (22.5% vs. 13.8%) and the frequency of the X allele of the ESR1 XbaI polymorphism in the patients with IS was also higher than in the controls (49.4% vs. 41.9%) but no significant association was detected (p=0.05). In conclusion, the presence of the X allele alone and the homozygous XX genotype of ESR1 could not be considered as susceptibility factor to IS.

There were no statistically significant differences in the genotype frequencies of PvuII polymorphism (33.3% vs. 37.5% vs. 31.1%, p=0.2) and XbaI polymorphism (33.3% vs. 25.0% vs. 21.3%, p=0.67) of ESR1 in the different age groups. The SNPs of ESR1 were not associated with the age of onset of IS in Bulgarian patients.

In the surgical treatment group (n=62) where Cobb angle >40° the frequency of the PP genotype of ESR1 was significantly higher than in the controls (p=0.023) and increased OR was observed (OR=2.18; CI: 1.1-4.3). The XX genotype and the X allele were not significantly associated with curve severity (p=0.061; OR: 2.0; 95% CI: 0.96-4.18).

In the small group of male patients (n = 15) no significant associations were found (p > 0.05). In the group of female patients (n = 65) the frequencies of the PP genotype and the P allele of ESR1 were significantly higher than in the female controls (p = 0.004 and p = 0.032, respectively). The XX genotype and the X allele were not significantly associated with gender (p > 0.05).

The p-values and odds ratios of genotypes and alleles are summarised in Table 2.

We also investigated the combinatorial effect of these polymorphisms on risk of IS. The analysis of all possible two-way polymorphism combinations revealed significant associations between two genotype combinations and genetic predisposition to IS and curve severity (Table 3).

Discussion

Predisposition for IS, like other examples of complex traits, does not have a specific assigned risk of inheritability, but inheritance is based on multiple factors, potentially both genetic and environmental [21].

We examined previously reported genetic associations [7, 8, 13, 17-20] between IS and two SNPs: XbaI and PvuII restriction polymorphisms of ESR1 among Bulgarian patients.

In our study, the frequencies of the genotypes of PvuII polymorphism of ESR1 showed statistically significant differences between cases and controls ($\chi^2 = 7.57, p = 0.023$) and the PP genotype of ESR1 could be considered as a predisposing factor for IS (PP vs. Pp+pp, p = 0.006; OR: 2.37; 95% CI: 1.27 – 4.43). The P allele was associated with higher risk for development of IS (P vs. p, p = 0.045; OR: 1.48; CI: 1.01 - 2.17).
The genotype and allele frequencies of the XbaI polymorphism of ESR1 were comparable between cases and controls (χ²=5.084; p = 0.079) and the genotypes and alleles alone could not be considered as a susceptibility factor of IS.

In the subgroup of surgical cases where Cobb angle >40° a significant association between the PP genotype of ESR1 and IS was detected. The homozygous PP genotype of ESR1 could possess a modifying effect on the pathological phenotype. At the same time no statistically significant association between XbaI polymorphism and severity of the disease was found among Bulgarian patients.

In the subgroup of female patients a statistically significant association between the ESR1 Pvull polymorphism and the clinical phenotype was observed. The XbaI polymorphism was not associated with the gender.

In this way, a previously reported positive genetic association between Pvull polymorphism and the risk of rapid progression of IS in Chinese patients [19] was confirmed among Bulgarian patients. The previously reported positive associations between XbaI polymorphism and curve progression [13, 17] were not established but the negative associations from the replication studies in Asian populations [18, 20] were confirmed in Bulgarian patients.

Janusz et al. found no difference either in XbaI (p = 0.87) or Pvull (p = 0.36) allele distribution between Polish female patients with IS and healthy gender-matched adult controls [7, 8]. The observed differences could be explained with the differences of the genotype and allele frequencies within the different populations and ethnic groups.

We also investigated the combinatorial effect of these polymorphic variants on risk of IS. The genotype combinations of XX-Pp and Xx-PP of ESR1 showed significantly elevated ORs (4.94 and 4.38, respectively). The hypothesis for synergistic effect of SNP on the etiology and progression of IS has been widely accepted and reported [3].

In conclusion, this case-control study revealed statistically significant association between the ESR1 Pvull genetic polymorphism and the susceptibility to IS and curve severity among Bulgarian patients.

Our results suggest that the identification of molecular markers with diagnostic and prognostic value could be useful for early detection of children at risk for the development of IS and for prognosis of the risk for a rapid deformity progression. That would facilitate the therapy decisions and early stage treatment of the patient with the least invasive procedures.
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